UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Docket No. 3:16-md-2738-FLW-LHG

IN RE:

POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS VIDEOCONFERENCE LIABILITY LITIGATION

JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM STATUS CONFERENCE VIA REMOTE ZOOM

WEDNESDAY, MAY 19, 2021

BEFORE: SPECIAL MASTER JOEL SCHNEIDER, USMJ, RETIRED jschneider@mmwr.com

856-488-7797

MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC. Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing 515 South White Horse Pike Audubon, New Jersey 08106 856-546-1100

May 19, 2021

```
Page 2
 1
 2.
 3
 4
 5
 6
                          Transcript of proceedings in the
     above matter taken stenographically by
     Theresa Mastroianni Kugler, Certified Court Reporter,
 8
 9
     license number 30X100085700, Notary Public of the
10
     State of New Jersey and the Commonwealth of
11
     Pennsylvania, VIA ZOOM REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE,
12
     commencing at 3:59 PM.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
 4
            ASHCRAFT & GEREL, LLP
            BY: MICHELLE A. PARFITT, ESQUIRE
 5
            1825 K STREET, NW
            WASHINGTON, DC 2006
            800-674-9725
 6
            202-759-7648
 7
            mparfitt@ashcraftlaw.com
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
 8
 9
            BEASLEY ALLEN LAW FIRM
10
                 LEIGH O'DELL, ESQUIRE
            BY:
            218 COMMERCE STREET
11
            P.O. BOX 4160
            MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA
                                  36104
12
            800-898-2034
            FAX - 334-954-7555
13
            leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
14
15
            COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH, PC
                CHRISTOPHER M. PLACITELLA, ESQUIRE
16
            127 MAPLE AVENUE
17
            RED BANK, NEW JERSEY 07701
            215-567-3500
18
            732-747-9003
            FAX - 215-567-6019
19
            cplacitella@cprlaw.com
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
20
21
            LEVIN, PAPANTONIO, RAFFERTY, PROCTOR,
22
            BUCHANAN, O'BRIEN, BARR, MOUGEY, PA
                 CHRISTOPHER V. TISI, ESOUIRE
23
            316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET
            PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502
24
            850-435-7000
            800-277-1193
25
            ctisi@levinlaw.com
```

```
Page 4
 1
 2.
            FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP
            BY: JESSICA LEIGH BRENNAN, ESOUIRE
 3
            600 CAMPUS
            FLORHAM PARK, NEW JERSEY 07932
            DIRECT - 973-549-7350
 4
            FAX - 973-360-9831
 5
            susan.sharko@faegredrinker.com
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT,
 6
            JOHNSON & JOHNSON and JOHNSON & JOHNSON
            CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.,
 7
            now known as JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.
 8
 9
            SKADDEN ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP
                 RICHARD T. BERNARDO, ESQUIRE
10
            1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
            WASHINGTON, DC 20005
11
            202-371-7410
            john.beisner@skadden.com
12
            richard.bernardo@skadden.com
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT,
13
            JOHNSON & JOHNSON and JOHNSON & JOHNSON
            CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.,
14
            now known as JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER, INC.
15
16
            SEYFARTH SHAW, LLP
                 THOMAS T. LOCKE, ESQUIRE
            BY:
17
            975 F STREET, NW
            WASHINGTON, DC 20004
            202-828-5376
18
            tlocke@sevfarth.com
19
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT,
            PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL
20
21
     ALSO PRESENT:
2.2
23
           RACHEL L. GOODMAN, ESQUIRE
           MONTGOMERY, McCRACKEN, WALKER & RHOADS, LLP
24
25
```

Page 5 1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Let's go on 2. the record. 3 We're on the record in the Talc MDL. 4 This is Special Master Schneider. I received a letter via email earlier 5 6 today from the plaintiffs regarding a dispute regarding a deposition apparently to be held tomorrow 8 of Dr. McCarthy and certain documents. We were 9 fortunate enough to get this Zoom call scheduled on short notice. 10 11 I've read the written submission from 12 the plaintiffs. Mr. Bernardo was kind enough, in 13 response to my request, to send me the one document 14 at issue. And I'm very appreciative that he 15 identified for me the redacted portions that the 16 plaintiffs have not yet seen. 17 I received, as I said, the unredacted 18 portion and I read everything that was redacted. course, I'm not ruling right now because I haven't 19 20 heard from the plaintiffs yet. So it's plaintiffs' 21 application. Why don't we hear from the plaintiffs, 22 we'll hear from the defendants, and we'll make a 23 ruling. MR. TISI: Good morning, your Honor. 24 25 This is Chris Tisi with Levin, Papantonio, Rafferty

Page 6 1 on behalf of the plaintiffs. 2. There are two issues here, one more 3 immediate than the other. The first issue is, as you 4 correctly stated, whether or not we get a fuller 5 version of the performance evaluation that you have in front of you. 6 And the second is less immediate but 8 probably more troublesome, and that is the lack of 9 the evaluations that we had presumed and understood and know exist, but have not yet been located or 10 11 produced not only for any of the witnesses who have 12 been deposed previously, but for upcoming witnesses. 13 So I'd like to at least turn to the 14 first issue because it is the most immediate one, but 15 I'd also like to address the second issue, if that 16 would be okay with you. 17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi, I 18 apologize for interrupting, but as long as we're at this beginning stage, I did scratch out what I 19 20 thought the issues were that were raised in this 21 They're a little bit broader than you had 22 just stated. I'll read what my notes indicate and 23 I'm happy to address any issue regarding the letter. 24 MR. TISI: Okay. 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: First,

- 1 regarding Dr. McCarthy. Of course, Mr. Tisi stated
- 2 there is a concern that only one PPE was produced.
- 3 And then there is the issue about whether the
- 4 redactions are appropriate.
- 5 The second issue is, there was an issue
- 6 raised as to the redactions for witnesses Mann,
- 7 Metaschime and Wille, W-I-L-L-E.
- 8 And three, the plaintiffs raised an
- 9 issue about, I suppose, these documents in the
- 10 context of future deponents and how they're going to
- 11 be handled.
- 12 I think it makes sense, Mr. Tisi, to
- 13 start with the two issues you raised. One, the
- 14 completeness of the production and, two, the
- 15 appropriateness of the redactions for Dr. McCarthy
- 16 and then we can go to all the other issues.
- 17 MR. TISI: Sure. And I appreciate
- 18 that, your Honor. I think all those issues are
- 19 accurate. They just salami-slice them a little
- 20 tighter, so let me address them the way in which you
- 21 have identified them.
- Just by way of background, Mr. Bernardo
- 23 and I have been spending a lot of quality time
- 24 together working through a lot of issues relating to
- 25 not only the depositions being taken in this MDL, but

- 1 also depositions that are coordinated with the MDL.
- 2 And I don't want to even start without acknowledging
- 3 that we have been able to work amicably together to
- 4 try to get most of that stuff done.
- 5 One of the issues that I'm trying to
- 6 coordinate, and Dr. Mann is actually a Missouri --
- 7 comes out of a Missouri subpoena, a Missouri
- 8 deposition which was cross-noticed in the MDL. So
- 9 procedurally we've taken this particular issue to you
- 10 because of your availability and on behalf of the
- 11 MDL.
- 12 As part of our discussions going back
- 13 months now in setting these depositions up, one of
- 14 the things that Mr. Bernardo and I talked about was
- 15 the production of personnel files, which, of course,
- 16 your Honor has ruled on in other litigations,
- 17 including the Valsartan case.
- We worked out an agreement that allowed
- 19 the plaintiffs to basically get two kinds of
- 20 information. First is financial information relating
- 21 to kinds of compensation that the witnesses get.
- 22 That's not at issue here. But what was at issue and
- 23 what is at issue is the performance evaluations and
- 24 performance reviews.
- I must say that my immediate

- 1 understanding and my appreciation was that such
- 2 evaluations existed, if not half-yearly, on a full
- 3 yearly basis because we had actually seen some of
- 4 them in the production of documents that have -- the
- 5 normal production, but not in connection with any
- 6 particular witness. So we had a sense that these
- 7 evaluations were done on every J&J employee.
- And so we reached an agreement that we
- 9 would be provided with these performance evaluations.
- 10 And then the discussion was, well, what information
- 11 could be redacted. And from my perspective, we
- 12 agreed, and this was before you were appointed
- 13 Special Master, I had a copy of your order that I was
- 14 aware of so I used it as a quideline for myself, we
- 15 tried to tailor it that would be in a way that would
- 16 be -- that would allow us to get to the issues. I
- 17 think the phrase said get to the issues quickly in
- 18 this case.
- 19 And what we wanted to understand from
- 20 their evaluation is what the key witnesses that we
- 21 are deposing in this case, what were they doing in
- 22 relationship primarily to the talcum powder question
- 23 and the question of whether it would cause cancer.
- 24 And so Mr. Bernardo and I agreed
- 25 basically that we would be provided with any

- 1 information that was directly related to talc, to its
- 2 ability to cause cancer, but also directly and
- 3 indirectly.
- 4 And, you know, the indirectly
- 5 qualification I think seems to be the point here. I
- 6 look at that as a fairly broad statement. I don't
- 7 look at it as a -- you know, if, for example,
- 8 Mr. McCarthy was working on a clinical trial related
- 9 to Tylenol, I'm not sure I would care about it and I
- 10 probably -- I would probably view that as a
- 11 carve-out. On the other hand, if he was working
- 12 primarily on issues relating to talc and his
- 13 supervisor did an evaluation and said he's done a
- 14 great job this year and we know this year he was
- 15 working on talc, I feel like that would be within the
- 16 scope of our agreement.
- So we reached that agreement probably,
- and Rich will probably correct me because he's good
- 19 with dates, probably two, three months ago. And the
- 20 first deposition we got, I understood that there
- 21 would be a lag time in getting these personnel files
- 22 because, as I understood it, they were offshore in
- 23 some fashion.
- 24 The first witness who was deposed was a
- 25 witness by the name of Lorraine Tolovsky (ph). We

- 1 didn't get, I don't recall exactly, but we didn't get
- 2 very much of a production of any of these
- 3 evaluations, although she's been almost a 30-year
- 4 employee with the company and worked on talc the vast
- 5 majority of those 30 years.
- 6 We didn't get one for witness Wille.
- 7 We didn't get any for witness Mann. We didn't get
- 8 any for witness Metaschime. We may have gotten one
- 9 or two here or there. So now we're at the point
- 10 where we're looking at Dr. McCarthy.
- 11 Dr. McCarthy, I understood from a call
- 12 that Rich and I had on Thursday, that he was going to
- 13 be producing an evaluation they had located. That's
- 14 the one that you have before you. It was provided to
- 15 us on Friday. We tried to communicate on Saturday
- 16 and then again on Monday. And so that's where we are
- 17 procedurally.
- 18 So the first question is whether or not
- 19 we get a more fulsome version of the evaluation we
- 20 have from 2008. Obviously, I'm working at a little
- 21 bit of a deficit because you have seen what is
- 22 underneath the redactions and Mr. Bernardo has seen
- 23 what's underneath the redactions, but based upon the
- 24 categories of information that I am aware of, it
- 25 would appear that the information, at the very least,

- 1 falls under the indirect relationship to talc
- 2 agreement that we have had amongst ourselves and that
- 3 they should be produced. Obviously, you reviewed it,
- 4 you might have a better view of what it shows.
- 5 What I am concerned about, though, is
- 6 moving to kind of the second point, which is where
- 7 are all of these evaluations? We provided you with
- 8 an exemplar deposition of Mr. -- actually of Dr.
- 9 McCarthy's predecessor, a gentleman by the name of
- 10 Dr. Mann. Dr. Mann testified that he had these
- 11 evaluations on a yearly basis, that he expected that
- 12 they would be within his personnel file, and I
- 13 believe that that was the standard, candidly, for
- 14 every executive and employee at Johnson & Johnson.
- We have now had four witnesses,
- including the witness tomorrow, and we have no -- we
- 17 have virtually no -- none of these reports. So, you
- 18 know, kind of rounding out, kind of stating what the
- 19 problem is, we have a witness tomorrow where we have
- 20 an agreement to produce evaluations. We have one, I
- 21 believe, that we had previously based -- that we had
- 22 located in the general production, one that was
- 23 produced by Mr. Bernardo on Friday in a heavily
- 24 redacted form, and so we don't have what I thought we
- 25 were going to be getting for this witness or any of

- 1 the other prior witnesses.
- 2 I don't know what to do about it except
- 3 to say it's really surprising to me that a company
- 4 with this level of sophistication has not been able
- 5 to locate, for any of the witnesses we have deposed
- 6 so far, evaluations that we know occur on a yearly,
- 7 at least, basis.
- 8 So that's kind of -- I know, Leigh,
- 9 you've looked at this question. If there is anything
- 10 you would like to add to it, you know, feel free to
- 11 add. But that's where we are, your Honor. I'm kind
- 12 of asking for your help.
- 13 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi,
- 14 thank you for your explanation.
- Before we get to Mr. Bernardo, maybe we
- 16 can deal with one issue at a time.
- MR. TISI: Sure.
- 18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Why don't we
- 19 deal with the redaction issue first.
- MR. TISI: Sure.
- 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Then we'll
- 22 get to the completeness of the production.
- MR. TISI: Sure.
- 24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I've read
- 25 the document that's been presented to me and you've

- 1 made a representation that the agreement between you
- 2 and J&J was obviously anything directly related to
- 3 talc has to be produced, and anything indirectly
- 4 related to talc had to be produced. Frankly, that's
- 5 what I assumed the agreement was.
- There are references in this document,
- 7 for example, to different products that, at least to
- 8 my unsophisticated mind, have nothing to do with
- 9 talc: Insect repellent, sun screen, cold sore
- 10 patches. Can I assume that we can put that in the
- 11 category of irrelevant information that you're not
- 12 interested in?
- 13 MR. TISI: If it's specific to that,
- 14 your Honor, yes. If it is general and it says all
- 15 the projects that Dr. McCarthy worked on he did
- 16 satisfactory or unsatisfactory, I would think that
- 17 that would be in the general category of information
- 18 that we would want because talc would be within the
- 19 scope of that.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- 21 There is a reference, for example, to
- 22 library services. Is that something you're
- 23 interested in?
- MR. TISI: No, your Honor.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I didn't

- 1 think so.
- 2 So I did go through this document with
- 3 precisely the understanding that you explained.
- I do have a couple of questions for Mr.
- 5 Bernardo. We'll hear his argument first. And I'm
- 6 going to question him about a couple of the
- 7 redactions that I see, whether they're appropriate or
- 8 not. And then we'll see where we are.
- 9 But generally, on the whole, it appears
- 10 to me that most of this document, it's pretty
- 11 complete, not all of it was redacted, at least
- 12 superficially was appropriate.
- 13 Let me hear from Mr. Bernardo. Let's
- 14 hear your argument and then I'll present to you my
- 15 questions about the redactions that may be
- 16 questionable.
- MR. BERNARDO: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 And at the risk of starting a virtual
- 19 group hug, I also want to acknowledge what Mr. Tisi
- 20 was saying at the beginning and just say I do
- 21 appreciate that I think this litigation and our
- 22 relationship is an example of what works right in
- 23 litigation and we've been working together quite
- 24 cooperatively and I appreciate and want to
- 25 acknowledge that. Obviously, at times we disagree,

- 1 and when we do, here we are, and we can sort that
- 2 out. So I at least want to acknowledge what Mr. Tisi
- 3 was saying.
- 4 I also want to point out by way of
- 5 background, your Honor, that the J&J defendants
- 6 generally disagreed with the relevance of personnel
- 7 files. We were mindful of your honor's opinion,
- 8 we're mindful of other opinions, and there is a
- 9 differing set of viewpoints in the case law with
- 10 respect to the producability of those. And it was
- 11 our impression that the purpose, at least from your
- 12 Honor's ruling, was to really focus on making sure
- 13 that the discovery is helping the parties to be
- 14 efficient in the deposition, that the personnel files
- 15 for evaluations at times could be used to make a
- 16 deposition efficient and focus in on the relevant
- 17 rather than tangential issues. So we did agree to
- 18 provide information in evaluations along the lines
- 19 prescribed.
- We're also mindful of two really
- 21 important things. One is, without suggesting that
- 22 anybody on this Zoom call would use these
- 23 inappropriately, this litigation, as we've explained
- 24 in prior calls with your Honor, is part of a much,
- 25 much larger picture. And just suffice it to say that

- 1 not everybody always plays by the same rules, and
- 2 we've found that things that are designated as
- 3 confidential end up somehow in newspapers and we're
- 4 really just trying to be very mindful of that in a
- 5 case like this, that, you know, I wish it were all in
- 6 the federal court system, but it's not and a lot of
- 7 things happen.
- 8 So we're trying to, you know, balance
- 9 out making sure that the plaintiffs get what they
- 10 need and also protecting the privacy interests of
- 11 personnel and other products that have nothing to do
- 12 with this litigation. That's the backdrop against
- 13 which we came to the agreement that we did.
- 14 We believe, and, you know, people can
- 15 have differing views on this, that what we did was
- 16 appropriate. And to kind of give you a little bit of
- 17 context, and your Honor pointed out things I circled,
- on my copy of this, beauty masks, sun screens,
- 19 Neutrogena insect repellant, antiaging creams. We
- 20 just didn't think that commentary on those, either by
- 21 way of a development plan or by way of his
- 22 performance on that, has any relevance here and
- 23 shouldn't be produced.
- 24 And I do acknowledge and I want to
- 25 point out that the way these work, and I think your

- 1 Honor knows that, and obviously Mr. Tisi hasn't been
- 2 able to see them, but I know two examples were
- 3 pointed out. One is at the top of page 2 where it
- 4 talks about Tim supporting a baby franchise
- 5 product -- I'm sorry, project. And we left lots of
- 6 stuff unredacted to the extent that it could arguably
- 7 relate to that. But then we began redacting those
- 8 things where it's very obvious that the subject has
- 9 changed and they're talking about something else.
- 10 And to be honest, your Honor, this
- 11 wasn't just my looking at it saying I don't think
- 12 this relates to anything. I ensured that my
- 13 colleagues, who were working with Mr. McCarthy to
- 14 prepare him for his deposition, went through with him
- 15 to say does this have any bearing on or any relevance
- 16 to any work you did with talc? And one of things
- 17 that we learned that was very important was in this
- 18 particular year for which this evaluation was
- 19 created, Mr. McCarthy's work on talc took
- 20 approximately five percent of his time. So it's not
- 21 like he spent, you know, his days every day working
- 22 on talc. It's certain that there were periods where
- 23 he spent more time on it, but we felt in light of
- 24 that, even some of the general comments that did not
- 25 specify sun screen did not relate to talc, and we

- 1 confirmed that with him. So this was not just, you
- 2 know, Rich Bernardo taking a look at it. We really
- 3 made the effort to ensure that we were correct
- 4 because we just wanted to make sure that if we were
- 5 not, that we would unredact things.
- 6 And apropos of that, when Mr. Tisi
- 7 pointed out some of these issues to me over the
- 8 weekend, I tried to go back and say, look, let me see
- 9 if I can go a little bit further, even though the
- 10 things that I would unredact don't really relate to
- 11 talc, just in the spirit of compromise. That's how
- 12 we got to where we are.
- I think what we did is very consistent
- 14 with your Honor's ruling in Benicar and I think it's
- 15 sort of important to look at this not just in the
- 16 context of, you know, what words might suggest the
- 17 context of what follows, but the actual facts.
- 18 And there is another one I didn't point
- 19 out that I should point out because I know Mr. Tisi
- 20 did in a letter to you which is also on page two.
- 21 There is a comment that reached out to Rio Tinto
- 22 supplier, and that's a talc supplier, your Honor, for
- 23 technical support. But what's important is what
- 24 follows, a semicolon. And what follows that
- 25 semicolon has absolutely nothing to do with the prior

- 1 sentence, and it has to do with get back to sun
- 2 screen and work that they were doing in Europe. And
- 3 I confirmed that as well with Mr. McCarthy.
- 4 So again, you know, you might look at
- 5 this and have a different view, and I respect that,
- 6 but we really did go through this in a good faith
- 7 effort to provide them those things that were
- 8 directly relevant and also to be mindful of general
- 9 things that could be construed that relates to his
- 10 work on talc. And what we sent to them was a product
- 11 of that good faith effort.
- 12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Do you have
- 13 a time limit for the doctor's deposition tomorrow?
- MR. BERNARDO: We Wish we did.
- 15 MR. TISI: We have -- again, because
- 16 this deposition is being taken according to the rules
- in Missouri, we have a -- the deposition is occurring
- 18 tomorrow with a spillover date on Thursday. Today is
- 19 Wednesday. I forget what today is.
- MS. PARFITT: On Friday.
- MR. BERNARDO: Thursday and Friday.
- MR. TISI: So, yeah, we have two days
- 23 blocked off. The hope is to try to be as efficient
- 24 and possible, but we do have two days blocked off.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr.

- 1 Bernardo, I just have a couple of questions about a
- 2 couple other redactions.
- 3 MR. BERNARDO: Sure.
- 4 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: On page
- 5 four, the first number, it says intellectual
- 6 curiosity.
- 7 MR. BERNARDO: Um-hum.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: It occurs to
- 9 me that the redacted information is relevant to the
- 10 witness' qualifications and I would suggest that that
- 11 would be relevant to the issues. I can't tell you
- 12 how relevant it is, but is there a strong
- 13 disagreement with that?
- MR. BERNARDO: This goes back -- in
- 15 short, no, there is not a strong disagreement, your
- 16 Honor. But this goes back to what we were saying in
- 17 terms of the evaluation and what he was being
- 18 evaluated for that year, and it really was
- 19 very little talc, but no, there is not a strong
- 20 aversion.
- 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. So
- 22 J&J shall unredact page four, column one,
- 23 intellectual curiosity.
- MR. BERNARDO: I'm sorry, your Honor.
- 25 You mean row one?

Page 22 1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Row one. 2. Excuse me. 3 Number two, the second paragraph in the third column. 4 5 MR. TISI: Are you still on page four, 6 your Honor? SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Still on 8 page four. 9 It talks about a certain kind of 10 testing. Is that relevant to talcum powder? 11 MR. BERNARDO: It is not, your Honor. 12 And that was not something that was being 13 contemplated. As I understand it, and I'm happy to 14 confirm that again with Mr. McCarthy, that relates a 15 bit to the paragraph above which I understand relates 16 to sun screen. I will certainly confirm that, but I 17 actually looked at that very thing with the same 18 question that your Honor had. 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: In vour 20 professional judgment, that particular paragraph that 21 I just pointed out, is that indirectly related to 22 talcum powder? 23 MR. BERNARDO: In our judgment, your 24 Honor, at this point in time it is not. But I'm 25 happy to again re-raise that. And I would put this

- 1 in the category of things that we don't feel strongly
- 2 about, but we're just trying to do something
- 3 consistent in how we approach this because, as you
- 4 can imagine, your Honor, it becomes a slippery slope.
- 5 And if we had provided that notion, in other words,
- 6 that we don't feel strongly about it, I could see us
- 7 saying okay, well, then there is other stuff.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Just as an
- 9 aside, if I was in your shoes, I would want the
- 10 plaintiffs to waste as much time as they can on
- 11 irrelevant information, so I would give them as much
- 12 irrelevant information as they want.
- MR. BERNARDO: I thought what you were
- 14 going to say, your Honor, was that's actually a very
- 15 helpful thing to the witness for us, this particular
- 16 paragraph, which shows you, your Honor, that we were
- 17 not trying to do anything with respect to keeping
- information from them because this happens to be a
- 19 helpful thing that I think you had evaluated, so.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So if you
- 21 double check that and you represent in your best
- 22 professional judgment it's not indirectly related, I
- 23 accept that.
- 24 But the last comment I have is Section
- 25 6, his comments in year end, Section 6, employee

- 1 comments, it seems to me that that's relevant to
- 2 general issues. It doesn't specifically refer to
- 3 talc, but I could see how plaintiff might deem that
- 4 relevant.
- 5 MR. BERNARDO: Fair enough, your Honor.
- 6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So I would
- 7 rule that the second column, year end, in Section 6
- 8 be unredacted.
- 9 MR. BERNARDO: The second row you mean,
- 10 I think.
- 11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Second row.
- 12 I'm sorry.
- MR. BERNARDO: That's okay.
- 14 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I'm
- 15 confident that after reading this thoroughly that,
- 16 one, it's obvious to me that the redactions were made
- 17 in good faith. There is no question about it. And
- 18 that wherever there was a legitimate question about
- 19 whether something should be redacted or not, I raised
- 20 it with Mr. Bernardo. Like I said, references to
- 21 cold sore patch, sun screen, insect repellent,
- 22 et cetera, the library, really have nothing to do
- 23 with this case. And I think that the meat of what
- 24 was questionable I raised with Mr. Bernardo and made
- 25 the two rulings to unredact two portions. And Mr.

Page 25 Bernardo, I accept his representation on the one he's 1 going to recheck. 2. I'm satisfied that J&J has otherwise 3 4 satisfied its good faith obligations under the rules. 5 Now, the second issue, Mr. Bernardo --6 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, I'm sorry, 7 before we leave the first issue, may I just add one 8 thing? 9 In the spirit of compromise in recognizing the deposition is tomorrow and not 10 knowing if I'm going to be able to get ahold of the 11 12 witness, I'm willing to unredact the second portion 13 of the second -- sorry, the third row of number two, third column of number two -- now I'm doing it --14 15 that we talked about. 16 I just want to make it clear I'm 17 agreeing to do that now believing that it's not 18 relevant to talc. In other words, I just don't want 19 it to be unredacted suggesting somehow that it was a 20 concession by us that it's relevant. I'm really 21 making that concession just as a compromise to move 22 this forward and get this as quickly as possible. 23 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: 24 talking about that whole box or just year end? 25 The year end part that MR. BERNARDO:

- 1 you raised. I'm not going to go back to Mr. McCarthy
- 2 is what I'm inartfully saying, I'm just going to
- 3 agree to unredact it. But I just want it clear that
- 4 that doesn't mean that we agree that it's relevant.
- 5 And I don't think it is. I just want to do it to
- 6 move past this efficiently.
- 7 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The second
- 8 issue is the completeness of the production. And it
- 9 seems to me that could be in two parts. If I was in
- 10 Mr. Tisi's shoes, I expect I know what we're going to
- 11 hear from you, but if I was in Mr. Tisi's shoes, to
- 12 give my client some comfort, you may have this
- 13 agreement amongst yourselves that you're going to
- 14 produce these, what are they called, PPEs. If I was
- in his shoes, it would make me feel more comfortable
- 16 if there was some type of order or directional ruling
- 17 from the Court or Special Master that said these have
- 18 to be produced, a reasonable search has to be done
- 19 for them, et cetera. If, as I expect, you're going
- 20 to say that the client made a reasonable search and
- 21 can't find it, I don't know what I can do except to
- 22 accept, and I always accept attorneys'
- 23 representations until someone shows me something to
- 24 the contrary.
- So I'll hear you, Mr. Bernardo, but I

- 1 don't think Mr. Tisi is going to object if we put in
- 2 some sort of ruling or order, whatever you call it,
- 3 just to memorialize your agreement so he has some
- 4 comfort that there is some oomph behind the
- 5 agreement.
- 6 MR. BERNARDO: And I appreciate that,
- 7 your Honor. And I also appreciate from where Mr.
- 8 Tisi stands that, you know, I forget what words they
- 9 used in their letter, but they just find it
- 10 incredible that there aren't such documents. And
- 11 I'll say to your Honor what I've said to Mr. Tisi,
- 12 which is, as you would expect, we really did make a
- 13 good faith effort. I personally spoke with the
- 14 individuals coordinating with the people in
- 15 personnel, and said, okay, you need to go back and
- 16 you need to talk to them and tell them they need to
- 17 look again and find materials.
- I'll also say it's not -- I found a
- 19 variety of -- I found inconsistency, is what I'm
- 20 trying to say, when I've gone back and looked for
- 21 personnel files and what's in there. And I've really
- 22 found that it depends and varies very significantly
- 23 with who a person's supervisor was, what they did,
- 24 what they retained, and all of that. I found
- 25 personnel files that have a number of these, and I've

- 1 found personnel files that have none. We actually
- 2 didn't leave it at that. We went back to all the
- 3 materials we've collected through the years and ran
- 4 searches and that's how we found this, just to see if
- 5 in somebody's custodial file that we looked for there
- 6 was an evaluation and that was, for whatever reason,
- 7 not included in the production.
- 8 As your Honor said, I can't, you know,
- 9 create what doesn't exist any longer, but I can
- 10 represent that we really did make good faith searches
- and will continue to do that to try and find these
- 12 things. And otherwise, I really can't explain why
- 13 they don't exist other than the vagaries of the
- 14 supervisors and, you know, some people follow the
- 15 rules in terms of reviews and other people less so.
- 16 And sometimes things make it into people's personnel
- 17 files and other times they don't.
- 18 MR. TISI: Your Honor, if I could --
- 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Could I add
- 20 one thing, Mr. Tisi?
- In my former life when I was on the
- 22 bench, I always, always, always had the proviso that
- 23 if documents were produced after a deposition that
- 24 should have been produced before the deposition and
- 25 they were materially relevant to the case, the

- 1 questioner would have another opportunity to depose
- 2 the witness on those new documents. And if the issue
- 3 comes before me and it turns out that these documents
- 4 are discovered and produced to you and they're
- 5 materially relevant to the case and I have to rule on
- 6 the issue, I would certainly rule that the witness
- 7 has to be redeposed on those new documents.
- 8 That should give you some comfort if it
- 9 turns out, and I'm sure everybody is operating in
- 10 good faith, but we live in the real world and who
- 11 knows what's going to happen in the future, whether
- 12 someone is going to open a file drawer and find
- 13 something. But the comfort that you would have if
- 14 the issue was ever presented to me and new documents
- are produced and, like I said, if they're materially
- 16 relevant, you're not going to be prejudiced by that.
- 17 I'll rule that you should be able to redepose the
- 18 witness. I just wanted to make that clear on the
- 19 record.
- 20 MR. TISI: I really appreciate that.
- 21 And I'd like to at least -- and I do want to talk
- 22 really briefly and circle back on the evaluation that
- 23 we talked about that was the first issue. But let me
- 24 focus where we are right now.
- 25 Your first question was whether or not

- 1 we'd like to have a memorialization. I would like
- 2 that. And let me just tell you why.
- 3 Initially when Rich and I kind of
- 4 reached this kind of agreement months ago, you know,
- 5 it was as we were scheduling things and trying to
- 6 just get the train rolling.
- 7 What has seemed to become a pattern now
- 8 is that we are getting these documents later than I
- 9 expected to get them as we started going along. And
- 10 what it doesn't do is it doesn't give me enough time
- 11 to bring any issues to you that I need to bring to
- 12 you.
- 13 And so I note that in the Benicar order
- 14 that this information needed to be provided, I want
- 15 to say, either ten days or two weeks prior to the
- 16 deposition. I would ask that they be provided a
- 17 period of time before the deposition and certainly
- 18 more than a couple days. Because then it gives us an
- 19 opportunity to look at them, identify any issues that
- 20 we have, meet and confer and bring them to you if we
- 21 need to do that. So having things memorialized with
- 22 something in place would be useful for us.
- 23 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Tell me what
- 24 your agreement is. I think that's perfectly
- 25 reasonable.

Page 31 1 What is the agreement? 2. MR. TISI: Well, we didn't have an 3 agreement on time and, Rich --SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Time will be 4 5 We'll make it a week prior to a deposition, but what's the agreement? 6 MR. TISI: I think it's more -- the 8 agreement is more in the negative in that respect. 9 If there is something in there that does not relate directly or indirectly to talc, they can remove it. 10 11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No. First 12 go back a step. 13 Are you talking about this particular 14 form or any performance evaluation? 15 MR. TISI: Yeah, in the performance evaluations generally, we agreed, and I'll ask 16 17 anybody else on my side if I'm misstating it because 18 I know I've discussed these issues with both Ms. Parfitt and Ms. O'Dell, but we've agreed in the 19 20 abstract, again assuming that these documents 21 existed, that if there is information on these 22 evaluation forms that do not relate directly or 23 indirectly to talc, they can redact them. 24 a -- you know, we did a clinical trial for sun 25 screen, I don't need to see it. All right? But if

- 1 it's, you know, all the work that Mr. McCarthy or Mr.
- 2 Mann did this year was satisfactory and it included
- 3 sun screen but also included talc, I get that.
- 4 I don't know if I'm being clear on
- 5 that. But, you know, I think it's best said in the
- 6 negative in that if it does -- if a specific piece of
- 7 information does not relate directly or indirectly to
- 8 talc, then they can redact it. And that was --
- 9 MR. BERNARDO: May I suggest, if it's
- 10 helpful, your Honor, and I'm happy to work on it with
- 11 Mr. Tisi, I have what we had put together and agreed
- 12 to and the verbiage and we went back and forth on it
- 13 and maybe that just gets embodied in an order because
- 14 it says: The J&J defendants will provide portions of
- 15 the witness' personnel file, any that include job
- 16 descriptions and references to talc, talcum powder
- 17 products or litigation regarding talcum powder
- 18 products, parens, including performance evaluations
- 19 specifically referenced or pertain to that employee's
- 20 responsibilities with respect to talc, talcum powder
- 21 products or litigation pertaining to talcum powder
- 22 products.
- 23 And I think that's what Chris is
- 24 saying. And I don't think we have a dispute as to
- 25 that. I think, as we said, your Honor agrees with us

- 1 for the most part in terms of how we did it. There
- 2 were a few provisions in here that you felt were more
- 3 general, and we'll take that guidance going forward,
- 4 but I don't think we have a disagreement as to what
- 5 we would do. And I think that if we're going to
- 6 embody it in an order, it probably makes sense not to
- 7 start from scratch, but to use what --
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Can
- 9 you email that to me, Mr. Bernardo?
- MR. BERNARDO: I can.
- 11 (Cross-talk)
- 12 I'll do it after.
- 13 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Does
- 14 this apply to future deponents in the case?
- MR. BERNARDO: Yes.
- MR. TISI: But Rich and I kind of had
- 17 this agreement, and I think it's worked pretty well,
- 18 that a lot of our communications, you'll notice that
- 19 I didn't attach emails back and forth to your Honor,
- 20 that we could speak candidly with each other without
- 21 having words kind of thrown back at us. And what I'd
- 22 like to do is, I don't want to be married to anything
- 23 that Rich had in an email. I mean I think that --
- MR. BERNARDO: Fair enough. Why don't
- 25 I work --

- 1 MR. TISI: I'd like to --
- 2 MR. BERNARDO: I was just suggesting we
- 3 have a starting point.
- 4 MR. TISI: Yeah. I'd like to at least
- 5 look at it and see whether we agreed to it and that's
- 6 what we intended and we can talk about that as well.
- 7 MR. BERNARDO: Perfect.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Fine with
- 9 me. We're going to make it that it has to be
- 10 reasonable efforts have to be used to produce the
- 11 responsive documents one week before the deposition.
- 12 MR. BERNARDO: Okay. And I just want
- 13 to comment that, your Honor, I completely agree and
- 14 that's what we've been trying to do. And frankly, an
- 15 order might be helpful to me in that regard. But as
- 16 I think Mr. Tisi alluded to, the personnel
- 17 department, as it were, for Johnson & Johnson is a
- 18 consolidated -- I forget what they call them, shared
- 19 services that is in the Philippines. And between the
- 20 Covid restrictions and everything else, trying to get
- 21 things from there has really -- I mean, we literally
- 22 start the process the nanosecond after we decide who
- 23 is going to be deposed. So we really are trying to
- 24 make best efforts. And I literally, just this
- afternoon, got an update to Mr. McCarthy's personnel

- 1 file which had nothing additional in it (audio
- 2 distortion) short cut --
- 3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: That's why I
- 4 used the word reasonable.
- 5 MR. BERNARDO: Thank you. I just
- 6 wanted to point out that we're living in some
- 7 circumstances that are a little bit different than we
- 8 are accustomed to and it's making things a little bit
- 9 more complicated to get, but I will make best efforts
- 10 to do that.
- 11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi, if
- 12 counsel represents that the company has conducted
- 13 reasonable best efforts, good faith, reasonable good
- 14 faith efforts, to locate and produce the responsive
- documents and they can't find them, what is left to
- 16 do?
- 17 MR. TISI: I really want to address
- 18 that question because this is really -- this is very
- 19 troubling to me. And let me at least set the table
- 20 for you, your Honor.
- 21 The ovarian cancer cases started being
- 22 litigated, the first case was filed in 1999.
- 23 Litigation holds were provided in 1999 for the
- 24 ovarian cancer cases. For the mesothelioma cases,
- 25 which overlap, as you know, in some material

- 1 respects, go back even further than that. So that's
- 2 one component here.
- 3 So there is a recognition that these
- 4 documents -- this isn't litigation that was filed
- 5 yesterday and we're saying, you know, you need to go
- 6 back and find stuff that you had no idea you were
- 7 ever going to have to produce in litigation and now
- 8 you have to find them. That's the first issue.
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But what
- 10 you're heading to invariably, Mr. Tisi, is the S
- 11 word, spoliation. If you want to go down that road,
- 12 I'm not stopping you. If you think there is a
- 13 spoliation argument to make, I suppose you have to
- 14 make a record and an application. But again, we're
- 15 left with the situation where I know, I'm positive
- 16 you accept Mr. Bernardo's representation, if the
- 17 company made reasonable good faith efforts and they
- 18 can't find them, what is there to do except put the
- 19 ball back in your court if you want to pursue it and
- 20 make a spoliation argument.
- 21 MR. TISI: Well, I mean let me tell you
- 22 what I'd like to do.
- 23 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- MR. TISI: I'd want to -- you know,
- 25 this is Chris speaking, I'm just kind of speaking and

- 1 I made the -- my immediate reaction, and this is
- 2 something I mentioned to Rich, is we have now had
- 3 four witnesses where we have had 95 percent of the
- 4 performance evaluations for those witnesses not show
- 5 up anywhere. You know, so it's not like I'm looking
- 6 for the lint out of his pocket. Right? I mean this
- 7 is -- we have a pattern here. Right?
- 8 So I would like to schedule a
- 9 deposition, a short deposition to find out where
- 10 these documents would typically be kept, whether
- 11 they're done every year as the witnesses testified
- 12 that they did, and so that it might actually flush
- 13 out where these documents are.
- 14 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Why don't
- 15 you ask Dr. McCarthy?
- MR. TISI: Well, because -- because I
- 17 mean, in part he doesn't know where the --
- 18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: How do you
- 19 know?
- 20 MR. TISI: Well, I mean the reason why
- 21 I know is because we asked Dr. Mann. Okay? We asked
- 22 Dr. Mann, and Dr. Mann testified that yes, these
- 23 performance evaluations are due every year; yes, I
- 24 review them with my supervisor; yes, I sign them, but
- 25 where they go after that, I don't know. You know, I

- 1 know that they are used to calculate my wages, my
- 2 salary and my compensation, but what they do with
- 3 them, particularly after I'm gone from the company,
- 4 you know, I don't care.
- 5 So really what I need is what happens
- 6 to these things. We know that they're done. They
- 7 testified that they're done. And I must tell you,
- 8 your Honor, you're going to become more familiar with
- 9 the record as time goes on, each of these witnesses
- 10 were involved with major organizations, international
- 11 organizations dealing with issues related to talc and
- 12 ovarian cancer. I mean, the idea that somehow they
- weren't evaluated on those topics and they, across
- 14 the board, never found their way into their personnel
- 15 files to me is a little surprising.
- 16 So I would like to take -- I don't want
- 17 to take up my time with Mr. McCarthy -- Dr. McCarthy
- 18 trying to figure this out, which appears to be a
- 19 global problem. I'd like to get somebody in the
- 20 witness chair and say look, we now have four
- 21 witnesses we've asked for these files, they've all
- 22 testified that they're done, we have some, where is
- 23 the 95 percent that we don't have?
- 24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Can I make a
- 25 suggestion, Mr. Tisi?

- 1 MR. TISI: Yes.
- 2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: My
- 3 suggestion is you should talk with your team to see
- 4 if this is an issue plaintiffs want to pursue. There
- 5 are lots of issues in this case and one of my
- 6 favorite expressions is, is the juice worth the
- 7 squeeze.
- 8 MR. TISI: I hear you.
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: And if the
- 10 plaintiffs think that it's worthwhile finding out
- 11 what happened to these PPEs and you can't work it out
- 12 with Mr. Bernardo about what to do, write me a
- 13 two-page, three-page letter, we'll get a response and
- 14 we'll decide whether that deposition will go forward.
- 15 MR. TISI: I told you I was just
- speaking off the top of my head, but I will do as you
- 17 say and confer with my colleagues.
- 18 MR. BERNARDO: And, Judge Schneider, I
- 19 don't disagree with the way you just suggested we
- 20 proceed, but I want to make two points for the record
- 21 to, I'll say, level-set.
- 22 First of all, there wouldn't be a
- 23 witness or even many witnesses, as you can imagine,
- 24 and I've worked with Johnson & Johnson companies for
- 25 the better part of a decade and I've watched

- 1 personnel go from within a company, they
- 2 decentralize, go off shores. I mean it changes so
- 3 frequently that I wouldn't even begin to know how to
- 4 approach that.
- 5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Well, that's
- 6 why they make Rule 30(b)(6) depositions.
- 7 MR. BERNARDO: Understood. But even
- 8 there, trying to track all of that down.
- 9 And the other thing, if you want to
- 10 level-set, we're not talking about testing documents,
- 11 we're not talking about research, we're not talking
- 12 about things -- we're talking about what we would
- 13 consider to be the most peripheral documents.
- 14 And again, without getting too far into
- 15 it because we've seen these allegations made and
- 16 argued them many times, but I disagree with the
- 17 preservation obligations of a company, particularly
- 18 with respect to personnel files.
- 19 But I just wanted to make those points
- 20 for the record. I recognize this is a subject for
- 21 another day, but I didn't want the record to go
- 22 without that.
- 23 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. So
- 24 where we are then is the Court has ruled on the first
- 25 issue, that there is three items that are going to be

- 1 unredacted.
- With regard to the production itself,
- 3 both of you are going to work on acceptable language
- 4 to be incorporated in a court order or direction.
- 5 You're going to meet and confer on this, what I call
- 6 the spoliation issue. And if there is a dispute, you
- 7 can raise it in the appropriate manner.
- 8 MR. TISI: Thank you.
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I
- 10 represented on the record what I do in every single
- 11 case about documents produced subsequent to a
- 12 deposition that should have been produced before the
- 13 deposition.
- 14 So I think the first basic issue to
- 15 deal with, we've dealt with. And moving on to the
- 16 second issue, at least on my list from the letter
- 17 that I read, what to do about Mann, Metaschime
- 18 and Wille, is it?
- MR. TISI: Yeah.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi,
- 21 what's that dispute?
- MR. TISI: You know, it's the same
- 23 dispute, just magnified out to other witnesses. I
- 24 mean, we had other witnesses for whom we expected to
- 25 get these -- the kinds of records that we've been

- 1 talking about and we may have gotten one from one
- 2 witness or one with another witness, but we certainly
- 3 have not gotten 90-plus percent of the documents we
- 4 expected when we reached the agreement.
- 5 So my only answer to that is if the
- 6 answer is the same with respect to Wille, Metaschime
- 7 and Mann as it was for McCarthy, which is we've
- 8 looked everywhere and we can't find it, as incredible
- 9 as that may seem to me and I think to anybody looking
- 10 at this, I don't know what to do other than to say if
- 11 you find them, produce them. I mean, you know, I
- 12 can't --
- 13 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The order
- 14 that you're working on, the language should not only
- 15 apply to future deponents, but it should apply to
- 16 people who have been deposed since X date, right?
- MR. TISI: Yes.
- 18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- 19 You'll put that in the order.
- MR. TISI: Thank you.
- 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: And
- 22 hopefully if something turns up in the future,
- 23 they'll be under an obligation to produce it.
- MR. TISI: Thank you.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: And then the

- 1 third issue is what to do about future deponents.
- 2 And it just seems to me, we may have dealt with that
- 3 issue, we're going to get appropriate language that
- 4 you two are working on. And Mr. Bernardo is going to
- 5 continue to make sure his client uses reasonable good
- 6 faith efforts. And if they don't find anything,
- 7 he'll make a representation on behalf of the company.
- 8 If you want to cover it at the deposition, you can.
- 9 If you want to go down the spoliation issue, like we
- 10 said, you'll meet and confer with Mr. Bernardo and if
- 11 there is a dispute, we'll get on a Zoom.
- MR. TISI: Yeah, we'll do that. And,
- 13 your Honor, at the risk of trying everybody's
- 14 patience here, let me ask you this question. There
- 15 was something about the original document that kind
- 16 of brought all this to a head that I just had a
- 17 question on.
- 18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Sure.
- MR. TISI: If you go back to the EPE,
- 20 page two, if we could.
- 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Got it.
- MR. TISI: Okay.
- 23 As I see it, as I'm reading the goal
- 24 objective number five, it's separated out into two
- 25 half-year periods. The first half-year involves

- 1 technical leadership on the ingredients issues
- 2 working group, providing tactical defense for
- 3 existing ingredients, and strategic planning for
- 4 future regulatory toxicology issues. And the second
- 5 one deals with dealing with toxicology issues
- 6 relating to product.
- 7 I happen to know just historically
- 8 during this particular year there was a lot of work
- 9 within these committees that are identified here
- 10 relating to talc. I just know that. That's just
- 11 part of the record. And I don't know whether or not
- 12 the mid-year assessment that falls underneath that
- 13 topic, you know, that may not be apparent to your
- 14 Honor, but I just want to make sure that that
- assessment doesn't have anything to do with either of
- 16 those activities.
- 17 Similarly, with the second part of the
- 18 year, the Rio Tinto, as I think was alluded to, was a
- 19 supplier of talc for the -- during the time frame.
- 20 And he provided technical report for talc, including
- 21 IR and CIR, and they're both bodies that looked into
- 22 the question of talc and ovarian cancer. And, you
- 23 know, that seems to be kind of one of the two things
- 24 that are identified there as important goals during
- 25 the second half of the year. And I just want to make

- 1 sure that the mid-year and year-end assessments
- 2 relating to those issues do not directly or
- 3 indirectly deal with talc.
- 4 That may not have been apparent to your
- 5 Honor when you were viewing it, and I mean that just
- 6 because we've been living and breathing this for the
- 7 past couple of years, and I wanted at least some
- 8 clarification on that.
- 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi,
- 10 this frankly seems to me one of the easier ones
- 11 because, one, I can certainly represent to you that
- 12 there is no mention of talc or asbestos here in any
- 13 of the documents.
- MR. TISI: Okay.
- 15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The year-end
- 16 assessment is in the context of baby sun screen
- 17 products.
- 18 MR. TISI: Okay.
- 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Nothing to
- 20 do with this case.
- 21 And the mid-year assessment has to do
- 22 with oxybenzone. I'm assuming that has nothing to do
- 23 with this case.
- MR. TISI: Okay.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So you can

Page 46 have some comfort --1 2 MR. TISI: Thank you. 3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: -- that nothing that's directly or indirectly related to talc 4 5 is in that section of the report. MR. TISI: I appreciate that. 6 apologize if I was tedious on that, but this section 8 was of particular concern to us. 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No. 10 know, I think you raised a good point, Mr. Tisi. Ιf 11 there is a good faith belief or question about a 12 particular redaction, that's better than saying look 13 at the whole document. So I welcome the opportunity 14 to look at specific concerns that you have. 15 MR. TISI: Thank you. 16 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So if you 17 have them, raise them. 18 I appreciate that, your MR. TISI: 19 Honor. Thank you so much. 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. For 21 the good of the order, Mr. Placitella was out walking 22 his dog while we were arguing. 23 (Laughter) 24 MR. PLACITELLA: No, I was not.

MR. TISI: Watching Mr. Placitella's

25

- 1 faces during my argument always gives me comfort,
- 2 your Honor.
- 3 MR. BERNARDO: Oh, now I'm disappointed
- 4 that Chris wasn't on my screen. Oh, gees, I had to
- 5 scroll over.
- 6 MR. TISI: I mean, he was doing horns
- 7 and all that kind of stuff. Thank you.
- 8 MR. PLACITELLA: Not true. Not true.
- 9 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, since we're
- 10 all gathered and at the risk of having to schedule
- 11 something next week, and I will work with the
- 12 plaintiffs on it, I just want to forecast another
- issue that I think we may need to talk about or maybe
- 14 plaintiffs would agree, which is we have been working
- 15 I think very cooperatively with Ms. O'Dell and Mr.
- 16 Lapinski on the whole privilege challenge. Due to
- 17 nobody's fault, although perhaps I could take some
- 18 blame for our vendor's fault, the issues of trying to
- 19 identify the documents that will be the subject of
- 20 the representative documents has been more
- 21 challenging just in terms of sort of taking into
- 22 account all the multiple privilege challenges that
- 23 are going on. And we've been working with
- 24 plaintiffs' counsel to identify that set.
- That's a very long windup to my

- 1 briefing team tells me that they're going to probably
- 2 need an additional week in order to get time on -- I
- 3 don't know, I mean, I'm happy to meet and confer with
- 4 plaintiffs or if they'll agree with it now, I don't
- 5 think that's going to affect any other timing in the
- 6 case, but I just wanted to raise that as soon as it
- 7 became clear to me that -- in order to give your
- 8 Honor hopefully a meaningful package that will have
- 9 sufficient explanation, we're still -- we're
- 10 still -- I need to meet and confer with one of my
- 11 colleagues tomorrow trying to sort through and get
- 12 the final set done.
- 13 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr
- 14 Bernardo, just meet and confer with plaintiffs'
- 15 counsel. I have complete faith that everybody is
- 16 acting in good faith. Whatever you think is
- 17 reasonable, I'm sure it will be blessed. I just
- don't want to put this off too long.
- MR. BERNARDO: Of course.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So if
- 21 plaintiffs think a week is reasonable and you're
- 22 agreeable, that's fine with me.
- 23 Have you at least identified the -- I
- 24 know you're working on the specific documents, but
- 25 have you identified the categories, like --

Page 49 1 MR. BERNARDO: They're all identified 2. and we're getting --3 (Cross talk) 4 MS. O'DELL: Yes. I'm happy to speak 5 to that. 6 We identified the categories that we're 7 challenging. We've also gone through the privilege 8 log and we've identified specific documents that are 9 being challenged and we have made an initial attempt 10 to identify representative documents to be reviewed 11 in camera. 12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okav. 13 MS. O'DELL: I think what Rich is 14 referring to is there were some issues with J&J's 15 vendor, certain documents now are not being claimed 16 as privilege, and so we're just trying to sort all 17 that out. And we're happy to meet and confer and 18 agree to some reasonable schedule. 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: 20 schedule you work out that you're agreeable to and it's reasonable is fine with me. 2.1 22 Are you at liberty to identify at least 23 what the categories are? 24 MS. O'DELL: Yes, your Honor. 25 would ask my colleagues if I forget some of them.

- 1 There are essentially, I think, five categories, but
- 2 documents where there is no attorney copied from
- 3 company employees. Documents where a third party is
- 4 copied on the document.
- 5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So that
- 6 would be an argument as to waiver?
- 7 MS. O'DELL: Yes, sir.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.
- 9 MS. O'DELL: There are documents where
- 10 a lawyer is CC'd, but it appears not to be for
- 11 purposes of seeking legal advice. And so those are
- 12 the three main ones.
- There are some others, and I'll ask
- 14 Mr. Placitella to remind me because I can't remember
- 15 the other two.
- Those are the three main categories I
- 17 will say, though.
- 18 MR. PLACITELLA: Those are the ones
- 19 that jump out at me. Rich probably knows better.
- 20 (Cross talk)
- MS. O'DELL: I'm happy to pull that
- 22 out, your Honor. I'm sorry I didn't have that right
- 23 off the top of my head.
- 24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No, no
- 25 problem. These seem to be attorney-client issues.

- 1 Is there an issue whether something is work product
- 2 as opposed to business?
- 3 MS. O'DELL: I think there are those
- 4 issues, your Honor. And there also is not only, you
- 5 know, the question of documents that have been
- 6 withheld for privilege, there is also sort of a
- 7 companion issue of redaction. There is a complete
- 8 redaction log that, from our perspective, doesn't
- 9 meet the standard of the rule because it doesn't
- 10 provide reasons for the redactions, et cetera. So
- 11 it's a full scope of challenges. We'll do our best
- 12 to get them organized in a way that will, you know,
- 13 make the process efficient for your Honor.
- MR. PLACITELLA: There is one more
- 15 category that I just thought of, and that is there is
- 16 a claim that although the document itself may not
- 17 contain legal advice, it's information needed to
- 18 provide legal advice. And, you know, our argument
- 19 obviously is that's facts, not legal advice and we're
- 20 going to have to probably address that.
- 21 MS. PARFITT: And I think the last one
- 22 is a business technical. Leigh, I don't know if you
- 23 mentioned that or not.
- 24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry?
- MS. PARFITT: I'm sorry. The last one

Page 52 1 was a business technical category. Leigh, if you 2 said that, I didn't catch it. 3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: As opposed to a legal issue, a business issue? 4 5 MS. PARFITT: Correct, yeah. SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: 6 It sounds to me like none of these issues are surprising because 8 they arise in probably every complex litigation and 9 the law is not that complicated. It's a matter of 10 reading the documents, understanding the context and 11 maybe it's an issue to be determined hearing from the witness him or herself. But we'll leave that issue 12 13 down the road. 14 MR. TISI: Your Honor, I did want to 15 kind of raise at least one overarching issue with 16 respect to the privilege question, which is something 17 that Mr. Bernardo and I have been discussing, which 18 is -- I think I understand that your Honor knows that there are some efforts to have a deposition of Mr. 19 20 O'Shaughnessy. We've talked about that --2.1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yes. 22 MR. TISI: -- in several instances. 23 I understand that there has been some 24 discussions in other cases as well in which you may

be involved. And we are looking towards having that

25

- 1 done or at least scheduled at some point. Remind me
- 2 of the date, Rich.
- 3 MR. BERNARDO: The deposition has been
- 4 agreed to in the -- as your Honor knows, because you
- 5 were on a call for the New Jersey cases to begin on
- 6 the 22nd with I'll call it carryover on the 23rd.
- 7 I've been talking with Mr. Tisi so we can deal with
- 8 this all together to do the ovarian part the
- 9 following two days. I'm still working with Mr. Tisi
- 10 out of respect to the New Jersey proceeding, I'm
- 11 trying to wait until that gets locked down and a
- 12 Special Master gets assigned just so I can then sort
- 13 of move on.
- But Mr. Tisi and I have been working
- 15 together, and I'm going to be sending him something
- in writing to set forth some of the terms that I
- 17 think we agreed to so that we can make this happen by
- 18 agreement and cooperation rather than litigation.
- 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi,
- let me just add, when you discussed this extension
- 21 with Mr. Bernardo, I was anticipating, absent unusual
- 22 circumstances, nobody knows what's going to happen,
- 23 and if there was going to be an undue volume of
- 24 materials, I was hoping to rule on that privilege
- issue either the 18th or very, very soon after that.

- 1 I wasn't going to let the issue sit.
- 2 MR. TISI: Well, that was the reason
- 3 why I raised it, because you were talking about a
- 4 briefing schedule and extensions and categories of
- 5 documents and I wanted to kind of put it in context.
- 6 And then your Honor made the comment of, well, maybe
- 7 we need to hear from the witness, you know --
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: We might.
- 9 We might.
- 10 MR. TISI: I wanted to kind of say
- 11 well, you know, all of those things, we have a
- 12 witness for whom we may be -- some of those questions
- 13 may be being asked, you know, in the latter part of
- 14 June, so I want all of these discussions to at
- 15 least -- you know, I understand things may come
- 16 afterwards and we may have to do a supplemental
- deposition, we may have to do that, but I wanted to
- 18 at least be aware that where the time frames are and
- 19 that all these puzzle pieces kind of fit together.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Tisi, as
- 21 soon as I get defendant's brief and affidavit and see
- 22 the documents, I'll know whether I need testimony or
- 23 not.
- MR. TISI: Okay.
- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I don't have

- 1 to wait to get plaintiffs' position.
- 2 MR. TISI: Okay.
- 3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So that can
- 4 certainly be done on the 18th, if we go forward on
- 5 that date.
- I raised this issue with you to let you
- 7 know what my plan was, again absent unusual
- 8 circumstances or unforeseen circumstances. So when
- 9 you talk to Mr. Bernardo about this schedule, just
- 10 take that into consideration.
- 11 As long as I get all the briefs two or
- 12 three days before the 18th, that's fine with me. I
- don't need two weeks to read the briefs.
- MR. TISI: I understand.
- 15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The more
- 16 time I have to review in camera the documents, the
- 17 better, obviously. The briefs are -- you know, we
- 18 read them before, I know what they're going to say,
- 19 now I just have to read the affidavit.
- 20 So again, I'll leave it in your very
- 21 capable hands, but I'm glad I was able to tell you
- 22 what my plan was.
- I was not planning on letting this
- 24 issue sit. It's just happenstance that the oral
- 25 argument is going to be a few days before you

- 1 depose -- not you, but they depose O'Shaughnessy,
- 2 which I understand, correct me if I'm wrong, is not
- 3 going to be cross-noticed, at least when we spoke the
- 4 other day in the state asbestos cases. So then we
- 5 may have another issue whether he's going to be
- 6 deposed in this MDL.
- 7 MR. TISI: Well, I think that Mr. --
- 8 well, you say correct me if I'm wrong, if you're
- 9 wrong. I would suggest that Mr. Bernardo had a
- 10 deadline to object to the cross-notice in the MDL and
- 11 I think we agreed that that kind of -- that's kind of
- 12 gone. And I do believe that Mr. Bernardo has agreed
- 13 to produce him in the -- when you say the MDL, he's
- 14 being produced pursuant to an order in Missouri and
- 15 cross-noticed in the MDL, and I believe that we've
- 16 kind of -- that bridge has been crossed. And I
- 17 believe he's going to be produced. You know, we're
- 18 still working on the details of it, including the
- 19 date, but I don't think that that -- I don't think
- there is going to be a question as to whether he's
- 21 going to be produced in the ovarian cancer cases.
- MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, I completely
- 23 agree with Mr. Tisi and we just agreed it wasn't
- 24 necessary to effectuate that and do an objection
- 25 because he and I were working towards trying to come

- 1 up with a resolution. So we didn't feel as if there
- 2 would need to be a briefing schedule specifically in
- 3 the MDL on it. But he would be produced and it will
- 4 be, like when the day and everything is set,
- 5 cross-noticed in the MDL.
- 6 So the idea would be there will be a
- 7 deposition for the mesothelioma cases and then there
- 8 will be a deposition for the ovarian cancer cases in
- 9 the multidistrict litigation and in the Missouri
- 10 litigation.
- 11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I thought,
- 12 and again, I don't have the experience that you
- 13 gentlemen have, but I thought in the normal world if
- 14 they're deposing him on the 22nd, why aren't both
- 15 captions on the case and after the first attorney is
- 16 done, the second attorney continues? But it sounds
- 17 like you're going to do --
- MR. BERNARDO: Would that the world
- 19 were that simple, your Honor.
- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: -- separate
- 21 physical depositions.
- 22 MR. TISI: Yeah. These really -- I
- 23 mean, it kind of goes to the point that even though
- 24 there are overlapping issues, your Honor, and there
- 25 clearly are, the focus of these are different cases,

- 1 different theories in different cases, and the idea
- 2 is, you know, particularly in the federal court,
- 3 where, you know, there is not a specific rule
- 4 relating to the discovery deposition and then a
- 5 preservation deposition, they're being conducted in a
- 6 certain way in the MDL that would be different. And
- 7 so there is a good reason for separating them out.
- 8 And we've done it that way. And I think we kind of
- 9 agreed on that.
- The question really is, you know, the
- 11 details. And Rich and I hopefully, and Leigh and
- 12 Michelle and Chris on our side will be working
- 13 towards trying to put together something. And our
- 14 hope is to have, at least for the ovarian cancer
- 15 cases, a pre-deposition call with your Honor and
- 16 Judge Norton, who would be supervising for the
- 17 purposes of the Missouri cases, to talk about how we
- 18 at least anticipate this process to work, because it
- 19 is unusual. I mean, I've been doing this for 30
- 20 years and I don't think I've ever run across this
- 21 kind of arrangement. So hopefully we can have a
- 22 pre-deposition call with your Honor and hopefully
- 23 Judge Norton so that we can all be on the same page.
- 24 And I think Mr. Bernardo kind of wants the same as
- 25 well, if I can be so bold as to --

- 1 MR. BERNARDO: We finally hit the first
- 2 point where I disagree with Mr. Tisi. But in the
- 3 spirit of compromise we agreed to proceed in that
- 4 way. We strongly felt there ought to be one
- 5 deposition that would get used in both cases, but we
- 6 gave in on that point when we understood that that
- 7 was a sticking point for both sides, so.
- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Can I at
- 9 least assume, hopefully, but it's up to you, that
- 10 granted there is going to be separate issues in each
- of the proceedings, but hopefully Mr. Tisi won't have
- 12 to ask the same questions about his education and his
- 13 employment experience and the background information
- 14 that they asked at the first deposition?
- MR. TISI: Well, your Honor, you know
- 16 again, because these are preservation depositions --
- 17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Oh, that's
- 18 right.
- 19 MR. TISI: -- that will be shown at a
- 20 trial --
- 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: That's
- 22 right.
- MR. TISI: So I didn't want to have,
- 24 you know Mr. Block (ph) asking those questions and
- 25 have to cut it and have another witness --

- 1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I got you.
- 2 MR. TISI: So it's an odd circumstance,
- 3 but that's kind of the -- you know, in terms of
- 4 allowing for the jury to not be jerked around, it
- 5 makes it a little bit easier to do it. And that kind
- 6 of, you know -- anyway, I think you see what I mean.
- 7 MR. BERNARDO: What I suggest, your
- 8 Honor, is Mr. Tisi and I have some talking to do.
- 9 I'm certain, and I rarely say that, that we will come
- 10 to an agreement, largely because we keep giving in on
- 11 everything.
- MR. TISI: We do agree on that, your
- 13 Honor, he just bends to my will.
- 14 (Laughter)
- MR. BERNARDO: He's very persuasive.
- SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Maybe it was
- 17 that hand injury from before.
- 18 MR. BERNARDO: Exactly. I didn't tell
- 19 you exactly how I got that.
- No, in all seriousness, I'm confident
- 21 that Mr. Tisi and I can come to some agreement. I do
- 22 agree, and I'm hopeful that a Special Master will be
- 23 appointed in connection with the New Jersey cases
- 24 soon. And if it's you, it's very easy to meet with
- 25 you in that capacity as well. But I do think it will

- 1 be very important from the Johnson & Johnson
- 2 defendant's perspective to have a pre-deposition
- 3 discussion. Because, you know, let's face it, this
- 4 is an unusual deposition. It's a lawyer, which is
- 5 unusual to begin with. It's a litigation counsel,
- 6 which makes it, you know, more unusual. And it's
- 7 going back, talking to the lawyer about the
- 8 litigation. So we just want to make sure that
- 9 everybody is on the same page, frankly, as a courtesy
- 10 to the witness and to avoid him --
- 11 MR. TISI: I didn't mean to open up a
- 12 box of Pandoras. I know you were just talking about
- 13 resolution of the privilege issues and I just wanted
- 14 to put a pin in the fact that we have kind of a late
- 15 June at least tentative date for this deposition just
- 16 so that everything was in context. You know, we'll
- 17 certainly continue to work on these issues, your
- 18 Honor.
- 19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right. And
- 20 if you need me, just like today, send me an email or
- 21 a text or a phone and we'll get on a Zoom as soon as
- 22 we can or phone as soon as we can. No problem.
- I want to thank the court reporter
- 24 again for being available on short notice. It's
- 25 always good to talk to counsel.

May 19, 2021

```
Page 62
                    If there is nothing else, I suppose we
 1
 2
     can adjourn for the day.
 3
                    MR. TISI: Thank you so much,
     everybody.
 4
 5
                    SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I won't
 6
     enter any type of order until I receive the language
     from you gentlemen. Okay?
                              Thank you so much.
 8
                    MR. TISI:
 9
                    SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Good luck
10
     tomorrow.
11
                    ALL COUNSEL: Thank you, your Honor.
12
                    (Hearing adjourned)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

Page 63 CERTIFICATE 1 2 3 I, Theresa Mastroianni Kugler, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New 5 Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony as 6 taken stenographically by and before me at the time, 8 place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a 9 10 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any 11 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither 12 a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, 13 and that I am not financially interested in the 14 action. 15 16 17 DocuSigned by: 18 Turesa kugler 19 439DA67C1C71495 Theresa Mastroianni Kugler, C.S.R. 20 Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2021 21 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: May 20, 2021 22 2.3 24 25

				04
	47:14 48:4	appears 15:9	45:21	<b>ball</b> 36:19
<u>A</u>	49:18 56:23	38:18 50:10	assessments	BANK 3:17
ability 10:2			45:1	
<b>able</b> 8:3 13:4	60:12,22	application 5:21		BARR 3:22
18:2 25:11	agreeable 48:22	36:14	assigned 53:12	based 11:23
29:17 55:21	49:20	apply 33:14	assume 14:10	12:21
absent 53:21	agreed 9:12,24	42:15,15	59:9	basic 41:14
55:7	31:16,19 32:11	appointed 9:12	assumed 14:5	basically 8:19
absolutely 19:25	34:5 53:4,17	60:23	assuming 31:20	9:25
abstract 31:20	56:11,12,23	appreciate 7:17	45:22	<b>basis</b> 9:3 12:11
accept 23:23	58:9 59:3	15:21,24 27:6	attach 33:19	13:7
25:1 26:22,22	agreeing 25:17	27:7 29:20	attempt 49:9	BAYLEN 3:23
36:16	agreement 8:18	46:6,18	attorney 50:2	bearing 18:15
acceptable 41:3	9:8 10:16,17	appreciation 9:1	57:15,16 63:10	BEASLEY 3:9
account 47:22	12:2,20 14:1,5	appreciative	63:12	beauty 17:18
accurate 7:19	17:13 26:13	5:14	attorney-client	began 18:7
63:6	27:3,5 30:4,24	approach 23:3	50:25	beginning 6:19
accustomed 35:8	31:1,3,6,8	40:4	ATTORNEYS	15:20
acknowledge	33:17 42:4	appropriate 7:4	3:7,13,19 4:5	<b>behalf</b> 6:1 8:10
15:19,25 16:2	53:18 60:10,21	15:7,12 17:16	4:12,19	43:7
17:24	agrees 32:25	41:7 43:3	attorneys' 26:22	<b>belief</b> 46:11
acknowledging	ahold 25:11	appropriateness	audio 35:1	believe 12:13,21
8:2	ALABAMA	7:15	Audubon 1:21	17:14 56:12,15
acting 48:16	3:11	approximately	availability 8:10	56:17
action 63:11,14	allegations	18:20	available 61:24	believing 25:17
activities 44:16	40:15	apropos 19:6	AVENUE 3:16	bench 28:22
actual 19:17	ALLEN 3:9	arguably 18:6	4:10	bends 60:13
add 13:10,11	<b>allow</b> 9:16	argued 40:16	aversion 21:20	Benicar 19:14
25:7 28:19	allowed 8:18	arguing 46:22	avoid 61:10	30:13
53:20	allowing 60:4	argument 15:5	aware 9:14	Bernardo 4:9
	alluded 34:16	15:14 36:13,20	11:24 54:18	5:12 7:22 8:14
additional 35:1	44:18	47:1 50:15,20		9:24 11:22
48:2	amicably 8:3	51:18 55:25	В	12:23 13:15
address 6:15,23	answer 42:5,6	ARPS 4:9	<b>baby</b> 18:4 45:16	15:5,13,17
7:20 35:17	antiaging 17:19	arrangement	back 8:12 19:8	19:2 20:14,21
51:20	anticipate 58:18	58:21	20:1 21:14,16	21:1,3,7,14,24
adjourn 62:2	_	asbestos 45:12	26:1 27:15,20	22:11,23 23:13
adjourned 62:12	anticipating 53:21	56:4	28:2 29:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
advice 50:11		ASHCRAFT 3:4	31:12 32:12	24:5,9,13,20
51:17,18,19	anybody 16:22		33:19,21 36:1	24:24 25:1,5,6
affect 48:5	31:17 42:9	aside 23:9	36:6,19 43:19	25:25 26:25
affidavit 54:21	anyway 60:6	asked 37:21,21	61:7	27:6 32:9 33:9
55:19	apologize 6:18	38:21 54:13	backdrop 17:12	33:10,15,24
afternoon 34:25	46:7	59:14	background	34:2,7,12 35:5
<b>ago</b> 10:19 30:4	apparent 44:13	asking 13:12	_	39:12,18 40:7
agree 16:17 26:3	45:4	59:24	7:22 16:5	43:4,10 47:3,9
26:4 34:13	apparently 5:7	assessment	59:13	48:14,19 49:1
	appear 11:25	44:12,15 45:16	balance 17:8	52:17 53:3,21
	l	<u> </u>	I	l

				65
55:9 56:9,12		14:17 23:1	45:8	companies 4:6
56:22 57:18	<u>C</u>	51:15 52:1	clear 25:16 26:3	4:13 39:24
58:24 59:1	C 3:1 63:1,1	cause 9:23 10:2	29:18 32:4	companion 51:7
60:7,15,18	C.S.R 63:19	CC'd 50:10	48:7	
, ,	calculate 38:1			company 11:4 13:3 35:12
Bernardo's	call 5:9 11:11	certain 5:8	clearly 57:25	
36:16	16:22 27:2	18:22 22:9	client 26:12,20	36:17 38:3
best 23:21 32:5	34:18 41:5	49:15 58:6	43:5	40:1,17 43:7
34:24 35:9,13	53:5,6 58:15	60:9	clinical 10:8	50:3
51:11	58:22	certainly 22:16	31:24	compensation
better 12:4	called 26:14	29:6 30:17	<b>COHEN 3:15</b>	8:21 38:2
39:25 46:12	calls 16:24	42:2 45:11	cold 14:9 24:21	complete 15:11
50:19 55:17	camera 49:11	55:4 61:17	colleagues 18:13	48:15 51:7
BIDDLE 4:2	55:16	Certificate	39:17 48:11	completely
<b>bit</b> 6:21 11:21	CAMPUS 4:3	63:21	49:25	34:13 56:22
17:16 19:9	cancer 9:23 10:2	Certified 1:19	collected 28:3	completeness
22:15 35:7,8	35:21,24 38:12	2:8 63:4	<b>column</b> 21:22	7:14 13:22
60:5	44:22 56:21	<b>certify</b> 63:5,9	22:4 24:7	26:8
<b>blame</b> 47:18	57:8 58:14	cetera 24:22	25:14	complex 52:8
blessed 48:17	candidly 12:13	26:19 51:10	come 54:15	complicated
<b>Block</b> 59:24	33:20	<b>chair</b> 38:20	56:25 60:9,21	35:9 52:9
blocked 20:23	capable 55:21	challenge 47:16	comes 8:7 29:3	component 36:2
20:24	capacity 60:25	challenged 49:9	<b>comfort</b> 26:12	compromise
<b>board</b> 38:14	captions 57:15	challenges 47:22	27:4 29:8,13	19:11 25:9,21
<b>bodies</b> 44:21	care 4:19 10:9	51:11	46:1 47:1	59:3
<b>bold</b> 58:25	38:4	challenging	comfortable	<b>concern</b> 7:2 46:8
<b>box</b> 3:11 25:24	carryover 53:6	47:21 49:7	26:15	concerned 12:5
61:12	carve-out 10:11	changed 18:9	commencing	concerns 46:14
breathing 45:6	case 8:17 9:18	changes 40:2	2:12	concession 25:20
BRENNAN 4:2	9:21 16:9 17:5	check 23:21	comment 19:21	25:21
<b>bridge</b> 56:16	24:23 28:25	Chris 5:25 32:23	23:24 34:13	conducted 35:12
<b>brief</b> 54:21	29:5 33:14	36:25 47:4	54:6	58:5
briefing 48:1	35:22 39:5	58:12	commentary	confer 30:20
54:4 57:2	41:11 45:20,23	CHRISTOPH	17:20	39:17 41:5
briefly 29:22	48:6 57:15	3:16,22	comments 18:24	43:10 48:3,10
<b>briefs</b> 55:11,13	cases 35:21,24	<b>CIR</b> 44:21	23:25 24:1	48:14 49:17
55:17	35:24 52:24	circle 29:22	COMMERCE	CONFEREN
bring 30:11,11	53:5 56:4,21	circled 17:17	3:10	1:5
30:20	57:7,8,25 58:1	circumstance	Commission	confident 24:15
<b>broad</b> 10:6		60:2	63:20	60:20
broader 6:21	58:15,17 59:5 60:23	circumstances	committees 44:9	confidential
brought 43:16	catch 52:2	35:7 53:22	Commonwealth	17:3
BUCHANAN		55:8,8	2:10	confirm 22:14
3:22	categories 11:24	Civil 1:2	communicate	22:16
business 51:2,22	48:25 49:6,23	claim 51:16	11:15	confirmed 19:1
52:1,4	50:1,16 54:4	claimed 49:15	communicatio	20:3
22.1,1	category 14:11	clarification	33:18	connection 9:5
		Ciai iiicativii	33.10	connection 7.3

dealt 41:1 decade 39 decentral 40:2 decide 34 51:9	9:25 <b>designated</b> 17:2	doctor's 20:13 document 5:13 13:25 14:6
17 decade 39 decentral 40:2 decide 34	designated 17:2 details 56:18	2 <b>document</b> 5:13
2 40:2 <b>decide</b> 34	details 56:18	
2 40:2 <b>decide</b> 34		13.43 17.0
decide 34		15:2,10 43:15
	:22 determined	46:13 50:4
	52:11	51:16
deem 24:3		documents 5:8
20 <b>DEFEND</b>	<u> </u>	7:9 9:4 27:10
<b>a@c</b> 4:5,12,1	9 <b>different</b> 14:7	28:23 29:2,3,7
defendan		29:14 30:8
':19 54:21 61		
9 <b>defendan</b>	, ,	35:15 36:4
3:19 16:5 32:		37:10,13 40:10
3 50:20 defense 44	4:2 <b>DIRECT</b> 4:4	40:13 41:11
ce deficit 11:		42:3 45:13
departme		47:19,20 48:24
<b>ced</b> 34:17	26:16	49:8,10,15
,15 depends 2	27:22 <b>directly</b> 10:1,2	
deponent		52:10 54:5,22
k 33:11 33:14 42		-
5:16 43:1	45:2 46:4	dog 46:22
nla depose 29		U
56:1	39:19 40:16	25:14 47:6
21:6,23 deposed 6	5:12 59:2	58:19
28:5 10:24 13		<b>double</b> 23:21
9:25 34:23 42	S	<b>Dr</b> 5:8 7:1,15 8:6
56:6	21:13,15 33:4	
deposing		12:10,10 14:15
3 42:16   57:14	47:3	37:15,21,22,22
5 deposition	n 5:7 discovered 29:4	
8:8 10:2		
16:14,16		DRINKER 4:2
9 20:13,16		
40:21 25:10 28	8:23,24 53:20	
4 62:2 30:16,17	*	17   <u>E</u>
1 20:22   34:11 37	_	<b>E</b> 3:1,1 4:21,21
0:15,18   39:14 41	1:12,13 61:3	63:1,1
12,25 43:8 52:	*	
10,17 53:3 54:	:17 52:24 54:14	<b>easier</b> 45:10
51.1,05	58:4,5 <b>dispute</b> 5:6	60:5
	_	
5:3 61:15	41:23 43:11	education 59:12
	ns 7:25   distortion 35:2	
	10:6 <b>DISTRICT</b> 1:1	
		16:16 20:23
8:1,13 4		51:13
)	56:10 57:7,8 5 59:5,14 61:15 <b>depositio</b>	56:10 57:7,8 58:4,5 59:5,14 61:4 61:15 <b>depositions</b> 7:25 8:1,13 40:6 <b>dispute</b> 5:6 32:24 41:6,21 41:23 43:11 <b>distortion</b> 35:2 <b>DISTRICT</b> 1:1

				07
efficiently 26:6	32:18 37:4,23	<b>faith</b> 20:6,11	11:18 13:19	franchise 18:4
effort 19:3 20:7	everybody 17:1	24:17 25:4	15:5 21:5 25:7	frankly 14:4
20:11 27:13	29:9 48:15	27:13 28:10	29:23,25 31:11	34:14 45:10
efforts 34:10,24	61:9 62:4	29:10 35:13,14	35:22 36:8	61:9
35:9,13,14	everybody's	36:17 43:6	39:22 40:24	free 13:10
36:17 43:6	43:13	46:11 48:15,16	41:14 43:25	frequently 40:3
52:19	exactly 11:1	falls 12:1 44:12	57:15 59:1,14	Friday 11:15
either 17:20	60:18,19	familiar 38:8	<b>fit</b> 54:19	12:23 20:20,21
30:15 44:15	example 10:7	far 13:6 40:14	five 18:20 43:24	front 6:6
53:25	14:7,21 15:22	fashion 10:23	50:1	full 9:2 51:11
email 5:5 33:9	examples 18:2	<b>fault</b> 47:17,18	<b>FLOM</b> 4:9	fuller 6:4
33:23 61:20	Excuse 22:2	favorite 39:6	FLORHAM 4:3	fulsome 11:19
<b>emails</b> 33:19	executive 12:14	FAX 3:12,18 4:4	FLORIDA 3:23	further 19:9
embodied 32:13	exemplar 12:8	federal 17:6	flush 37:12	36:1 63:9
embody 33:6	exist 6:10 28:9	58:2	focus 16:12,16	future 7:10
employee 9:7	28:13	feel 10:15 13:10	29:24 57:25	29:11 33:14
11:4 12:14	existed 9:2 31:21	23:1,6 26:15	<b>follow</b> 28:14	42:15,22 43:1
23:25 63:10,12	existing 44:3	57:1	following 53:9	44:4
employee's	expect 26:10,19	felt 18:23 33:2	<b>follows</b> 19:17,24	
32:19	27:12	59:4	19:24	G
employees 50:3	expected 12:11	figure 38:18	forecast 47:12	gathered 47:10
employment	30:9 41:24	file 12:12 28:5	foregoing 63:5	gees 47:4
59:13	42:4	29:12 32:15	<b>forget</b> 20:19	general 12:22
ensure 19:3	experience	35:1	27:8 34:18	14:14,17 18:24
ensured 18:12	57:12 59:13	<b>filed</b> 35:22 36:4	49:25	20:8 24:2 33:3
enter 62:6	Expires 63:20	files 8:15 10:21	form 12:24	generally 15:9
<b>EPE</b> 43:19	explain 28:12	16:7,14 27:21	31:14	16:6 31:16
ESQUIRE 3:4	explained 15:3	27:25 28:1,17	FORMAROLI	gentleman 12:9
3:10,16,22 4:2	16:23	38:15,21 40:18	1:18	gentlemen 57:13
4:9,16,23	explanation	<b>final</b> 48:12	former 28:21	62:7
essentially 50:1	13:14 48:9	finally 59:1	forms 31:22	GEREL 3:4
et 24:22 26:19	expressions 39:6	financial 8:20	forth 32:12	getting 10:21
51:10	extension 53:20	financially	33:19 53:16	12:25 30:8
Europe 20:2	extensions 54:4	63:13	63:8	40:14 49:2
evaluated 21:18	extent 18:6	<b>find</b> 26:21 27:9	fortunate 5:9	give 17:16 23:11
23:19 38:13		27:17 28:11	forward 25:22	26:12 29:8
evaluation 6:5	<u>F</u>	29:12 35:15	33:3 39:14	30:10 48:7
9:20 10:13	<b>F</b> 4:17 63:1	36:6,8,18 37:9	55:4	gives 30:18 47:1
11:13,19 18:18	face 61:3	42:8,11 43:6	<b>found</b> 17:2	<b>giving</b> 60:10
21:17 28:6	faces 47:1	finding 39:10	27:18,19,22,24	glad 55:21
29:22 31:14,22	fact 61:14	<b>fine</b> 31:5 34:8	28:1,4 38:14	global 38:19
evaluations 6:9	facts 19:17	48:22 49:21	four 12:15 21:5	<b>go</b> 5:1 7:16 15:2
8:23 9:2,7,9	51:19	55:12	21:22 22:5,8	19:8,9 20:6
11:3 12:7,11	<b>FAEGRE</b> 4:2	<b>FIRM</b> 3:9	37:3 38:20	26:1 27:15
12:20 13:6	Fair 24:5 33:24	first 6:3,14,25	frame 44:19	31:12 36:1,5
16:15,18 31:16	fairly 10:6	8:20 10:20,24	frames 54:18	36:11 37:25
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

				68
39:14 40:1,2	half-year 43:25	18:1,10 19:22	important 16:21	intended 34:6
40:21 43:9,19	43:25	21:16,24 22:6	18:17 19:15,23	interested 14:12
55:4	half-yearly 9:2	22:11,18,24	44:24 61:1	14:23 63:13
goal 43:23	hand 10:11	23:4,14,16	impression	interests 17:10
goals 44:24	60:17	24:5 25:6 27:7	16:11	interests 17.10
goes 21:14,16	handled 7:11	27:11 28:8,18	inappropriately	38:10
38:9 57:23	hands 55:21	32:10,25 33:19	16:23	interrupting
going 7:10 8:12		34:13 35:20	inartfully 26:2	6:18
11:12 12:25	<b>happen</b> 17:7 29:11 44:7	38:8 43:13	include 32:15	
15:6 23:14	53:17,22	44:14 45:5	included 28:7	invariably 36:10 involved 38:10
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46:19 47:2,9		52:25
25:2,11 26:1,2	happened 39:11	,	32:2,3	involves 43:25
26:10,13,19	happens 23:18 38:5	48:8 49:24	including 8:17	
27:1 29:11,12		50:22 51:4,13	12:16 32:18	IR 44:21
29:16 30:9	happenstance	52:14,18 53:4	44:20 56:18	irrelevant 14:11
33:3,5 34:9,23	55:24	54:6 56:22	inconsistency	23:11,12
36:7 38:8	happy 6:23	57:19,24 58:15	27:19	issue 5:14 6:3,14
40:25 41:3,5	22:13,25 32:10	58:22 59:15	incorporated	6:15,23 7:3,5,5
43:3,4 47:23	48:3 49:4,17	60:8,13 61:18	41:4	7:9 8:9,22,22
48:1,5 51:20	50:21	62:11	incredible 27:10	8:23 13:16,19
53:15,22,23	he'll 43:7	honor's 16:7,12	42:8	25:5,7 26:8
54:1 55:18,25	head 39:16	19:14	indicate 6:22	29:2,6,14,23
56:3,5,17,20	43:16 50:23	hope 20:23	indirect 12:1	36:8 39:4
56:21 57:17	heading 36:10	58:14	indirectly 10:3,4	40:25 41:6,14
59:10 61:7	hear 5:21,22	hopeful 60:22	14:3 22:21	41:16 43:1,3,9
<b>good</b> 5:24 10:18	15:5,13,14	hopefully 42:22	23:22 31:10,23	47:13 51:1,7
20:6,11 24:17	26:11,25 39:8	48:8 58:11,21	32:7 45:3 46:4	52:4,4,11,12
25:4 27:13	54:7	58:22 59:9,11	individuals	52:15 53:25
28:10 29:10	<b>heard</b> 5:20	<b>hoping</b> 53:24	27:14	54:1 55:6,24
35:13,13 36:17	hearing 52:11	horns 47:6	information	56:5
43:5 46:10,11	62:12	Horse 1:20	8:20,20 9:10	issues 6:2,20
46:21 48:16	heavily 12:23	hug 15:19	10:1 11:24,25	7:13,16,18,24
58:7 61:25	held 5:7		14:11,17 16:18	8:5 9:16,17
62:9	help 13:12	<u> </u>	21:9 23:11,12	10:12 16:17
GOODMAN	helpful 23:15,19	idea 36:6 38:12	23:18 30:14	19:7 21:11
4:23	32:10 34:15	57:6 58:1	31:21 32:7	24:2 30:11,19
gotten 11:8 42:1	helping 16:13	identified 5:15	51:17 59:13	31:18 38:11
42:3	hereinbefore	7:21 44:9,24	ingredients 44:1	39:5 44:1,4,5
granted 59:10	63:8	48:23,25 49:1	44:3	45:2 47:18
<b>great</b> 10:14	historically 44:7	49:6,8	initial 49:9	49:14 50:25
<b>group</b> 15:19	<b>hit</b> 59:1	identify 30:19	<b>Initially</b> 30:3	51:4 52:7
44:2	holds 35:23	47:19,24 49:10	<b>injury</b> 60:17	57:24 59:10
guidance 33:3	honest 18:10	49:22	<b>insect</b> 14:9 17:19	61:13,17
guideline 9:14	<b>Honor</b> 5:24 7:18	imagine 23:4	24:21	items 40:25
	8:16 13:11	39:23	instances 52:22	
Н	14:14,24 15:17	immediate 6:3,7	intellectual 21:5	J
half 44:25	16:5,24 17:17	6:14 8:25 37:1	21:23	<b>J&amp;J</b> 9:7 14:2

				0.9
16:5 21:22	56:11,11,16	62:6	<b>lint</b> 37:6	lots 18:5 39:5
25:3 32:14	57:23 58:8,21	Lapinski 47:16	list 41:16	luck 62:9
J&J's 49:14	58:24 60:3,5	largely 60:10	literally 34:21	
jerked 60:4	61:14	larger 16:25	34:24	M
Jersey 1:1,21	kinds 8:19,21	late 61:14	litigated 35:22	<b>M</b> 3:16
2:10 3:17 4:3	41:25	Laughter 46:23	litigation 1:6	magnified 41:23
53:5,10 60:23	know 6:10 10:4	60:14	15:21,23 16:23	main 50:12,16
63:5,20	10:7,14 12:18	law 3:9 16:9	17:12 32:17,21	major 38:10
JESSICA 4:2	13:2,6,8,10	52:9	35:23 36:4,7	majority 11:5
job 10:14 32:15	17:5,8,14 18:2	lawyer 50:10	52:8 53:18	making 16:12
JOEL 1:14	18:21 19:2,16	61:4,7	57:9,10 61:5,8	17:9 25:21
john.beisner	19:19 20:4	leadership 44:1	litigations 8:16	35:8
4:11	26:10,21 27:8	learned 18:17	little 6:21 7:19	<b>Mann</b> 7:6 8:6
<b>Johnson</b> 1:5,5	28:8,14 30:4	leave 25:7 28:2	11:20 17:16	11:7 12:10,10
4:6,6,6,6,7,7	31:18,24 32:1	52:12 55:20	19:9 21:19	32:2 37:21,22
4:13,13,13,13	32:4,5 35:25	left 18:5 35:15	35:7,8 38:15	37:22 41:17
4:14,14 12:14	36:5,15,24	36:15	60:5	42:7
12:14 34:17,17	37:5,17,19,21	legal 50:11	live 29:10	manner 41:7
39:24,24 61:1	37:25,25 38:1	51:17,18,19	living 35:6 45:6	<b>MAPLE</b> 3:16
61:1	38:4,6 40:3	52:4	LLP 3:4 4:2,9,16	MARKETING
jschneider@m	41:22 42:10,11	legitimate 24:18	4:23	1:5
1:14	44:7,10,11,13	Leigh 3:10 4:2	locate 13:5	married 33:22
Judge 39:18	44:23 46:10	13:8 51:22	35:14	masks 17:18
58:16,23	48:3,24 51:5	52:1 58:11	located 6:10	<b>Master</b> 1:14 5:1
judgment 22:20	51:12,18,22	leigh.odell@b	11:13 12:22	5:4 6:17,25
22:23 23:22	54:7,11,13,15	3:13	LOCKE 4:16	9:13 13:13,18
juice 39:6	54:22 55:7,17	let's 5:1 15:13	locked 53:11	13:21,24 14:20
jump 50:19	55:18 56:17	61:3	log 49:8 51:8	14:25 20:12,25
June 54:14	58:2,3,10	letter 5:5 6:21	long 6:18 47:25	21:4,8,21 22:1
61:15	59:15,24 60:3	6:23 19:20	48:18 55:11	22:7,19 23:8
jury 60:4	60:6 61:3,6,12	27:9 39:13	longer 28:9	23:20 24:6,11
Jai 5 00.1	61:16	41:16	look 10:6,7 19:2	24:14 25:23
K	<b>knowing</b> 25:11	letting 55:23	19:8,15 20:4	26:7,17 28:19
<b>K</b> 3:5	knowing 23.11 known 4:7,14	level 13:4	27:17 30:19	30:23 31:4,11
keep 60:10	knows 18:1	level-set 39:21	34:5 38:20	33:8,13 34:8
keeping 23:17	29:11 50:19	40:10	46:12,14	35:3,11 36:9
kept 37:10	52:18 53:4,22	Levin 3:21 5:25	looked 13:9	36:23 37:14,18
key 9:20	Kugler 2:8 63:3	LIABILITY 1:6	22:17 27:20	38:24 39:2,9
kind 5:12 12:6	63:19	liberty 49:22	28:5 42:8	40:5,23 41:9
12:18,18 13:8		library 14:22	44:21	41:20 42:13,18
13:11 17:16	L	24:22	looking 11:10	42:21,25 43:18
22:9 30:3,4	L 4:21,23	license 2:9	18:11 37:5	43:21 45:9,15
33:16,21 36:25	lack 6:8	life 28:21	42:9 52:25	45:19,25 46:3
43:15 44:23	lag 10:21	light 18:23	<b>Lorraine</b> 10:25	46:9,16,20
47:7 52:15	language 41:3	limit 20:13	lot 7:23,24 17:6	48:13,20 49:12
54:5,10,19	42:14 43:3	lines 16:18	33:18 44:8	49:19 50:5,8
, ,		11105 10.10	33.10 11.0	<b>_</b>

	1	1	i	i
50:24 51:24	43:10 48:3,10	N	<b>O'BRIEN</b> 3:22	29:1 30:19
52:3,6,21	48:14 49:17	N 3:1 4:21	<b>O'Dell</b> 3:10	46:13
53:12,19 54:8	51:9 60:24	name 10:25 12:9	31:19 47:15	opposed 51:2
54:20,25 55:3	memorializati	nanosecond	49:4,13,24	52:3
55:15 57:11,20	30:1	34:22	50:7,9,21 51:3	oral 55:24
59:8,17,21	memorialize	necessary 56:24	O'Shaughnessy	order 9:13 26:16
60:1,16,22	27:3	need 17:10	52:20 56:1	27:2 30:13
61:19 62:5,9	memorialized	27:15,16,16	object 27:1	32:13 33:6
Mastroianni	30:21	30:11,21 31:25	56:10	34:15 41:4
1:18 2:8 63:3	mention 45:12	36:5 38:5	objection 56:24	42:13,19 46:21
63:19	mentioned 37:2	47:13 48:2,10	objective 43:24	48:2,7 56:14
material 35:25	51:23	54:7,22 55:13	obligation 42:23	62:6
materially 28:25	mesothelioma	57:2 61:20	obligations 25:4	organizations
29:5,15	35:24 57:7	needed 30:14	40:17	38:10,11
materials 27:17	Metaschime 7:7	51:17	obvious 18:8	organized 51:12
28:3 53:24	11:8 41:17	negative 31:8	24:16	original 43:15
matter 2:7 52:9	42:6	32:6	obviously 11:20	ought 59:4
McCarthy 5:8	Michelle 3:4	neither 63:9,11	12:3 14:2	ovarian 35:21
7:1,15 10:8	58:12	Neutrogena	15:25 18:1	35:24 38:12
11:10,11 14:15	mid-year 44:12	17:19	51:19 55:17	44:22 53:8
18:13 20:3	45:1,21	never 38:14	occur 13:6	56:21 57:8
22:14 26:1	mind 14:8	new 1:1,21 2:10	occurring 20:17	58:14
32:1 37:15	<b>mindful</b> 16:7,8	3:17 4:3,10	occurs 21:8	overarching
38:17,17 42:7	16:20 17:4	29:2,7,14 53:5	odd 60:2	52:15
McCarthy's	20:8	53:10 60:23	offshore 10:22	overlap 35:25
12:9 18:19	<b>Missouri</b> 8:6,7,7	63:4,20	<b>Oh</b> 47:3,4 59:17	overlapping
34:25	20:17 56:14	newspapers	okay 6:16,24	57:24
McCRACKEN	57:9 58:17	17:3	14:20 21:21	oxybenzone
4:23	misstating 31:17	nobody's 47:17	23:7 24:13	45:22
<b>MDL</b> 5:3 7:25	<b>Monday</b> 11:16	normal 9:5	27:15 33:8,13	
8:1,8,11 56:6	MONTGOM	57:13	34:12 36:23	P
56:10,13,15	3:11 4:23	<b>Norton</b> 58:16,23	37:21 40:23	<b>P</b> 3:1,1 4:21
57:3,5 58:6	months 8:13	Notary 2:9 63:3	42:18 43:22	<b>P.O</b> 3:11
MEAGHER 4:9	10:19 30:4	63:20	45:14,18,24	PA 3:22
mean 21:25 24:9	morning 5:24	note 30:13	46:20 49:12	package 48:8
26:4 33:23	MOUGEY 3:22	notes 6:22	50:8 54:24	page 18:3 19:20
34:21 36:21	move 25:21 26:6	notice 5:10	55:2 62:7	21:4,22 22:5,8
37:6,17,20	53:13	33:18 61:24	ones 45:10 50:12	43:20 58:23
38:12 40:2	moving 12:6	<b>notion</b> 23:5	50:18	61:9
41:24 42:11	41:15	number 2:9 21:5	oomph 27:4	Pandoras 61:12
45:5 47:6 48:3	mparfitt@ash	22:3 25:13,14	open 29:12	Papantonio 3:21
57:23 58:19	3:7	27:25 43:24	61:11	5:25
60:6 61:11	multidistrict	NW 3:5 4:10,17	operating 29:9	paragraph 22:3
meaningful 48:8	57:9		opinion 16:7	22:15,20 23:16
meat 24:23	multiple 47:22	0	opinions 16:8	parens 32:18
meet 30:20 41:5		O 4:21	opportunity	Parfitt 3:4 20:20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

71

				/ 1
31:19 51:21,25	peripheral 40:13	<b>plan</b> 17:21 55:7	presented 13:25	7:2 12:3,23
52:5	person's 27:23	55:22	29:14	14:3,4 17:23
PARK 4:3	PERSONAL	planning 44:3	preservation	26:18 28:23,24
part 8:12 16:24	4:19	55:23	40:17 58:5	29:4,15 41:11
25:25 33:1	personally 27:13	plays 17:1	59:16	41:12 56:14,17
37:17 39:25	personnel 8:15	PM 2:12	presumed 6:9	56:21 57:3
44:11,17 53:8	10:21 12:12	pocket 37:6	pretty 15:10	producing 11:13
54:13	16:6,14 17:11	point 10:5 11:9	33:17	product 18:5
particular 8:9	27:15,21,25	12:6 16:4	previously 6:12	20:10 44:6
9:6 18:18	28:1,16 32:15	17:25 19:18,19	12:21	51:1
22:20 23:15	34:16,25 38:14	22:24 34:3	primarily 9:22	production 7:14
31:13 44:8	40:1,18	35:6 46:10	10:12	8:15 9:4,5 11:2
46:8,12	perspective 9:11	53:1 57:23	<b>prior</b> 13:1 16:24	12:22 13:22
particularly	51:8 61:2	59:2,6,7	19:25 30:15	26:8 28:7 41:2
38:3 40:17	persuasive 60:15	pointed 17:17	31:5	products 1:5,6
58:2	pertain 32:19	18:3 19:7	privacy 17:10	4:19 14:7
parties 16:13	pertaining 32:21	22:21	privilege 47:16	17:11 32:17,18
63:11	ph 10:25 59:24	points 39:20	47:22 49:7,16	32:21,22 45:17
parts 26:9	Philippines	40:19	51:6 52:16	professional
party 50:3	34:19	portion 5:18	53:24 61:13	22:20 23:22
patch 24:21	phone 61:21,22	25:12	probably 6:8	project 18:5
patches 14:10	phrase 9:17	portions 5:15	10:10,10,17,18	projects 14:15
patience 43:14	physical 57:21	24:25 32:14	10:19 33:6	protecting 17:10
pattern 30:7	picture 16:25	position 55:1	48:1 50:19	provide 16:18
37:7	piece 32:6	positive 36:15	51:20 52:8	20:7 32:14
PC 3:15	pieces 54:19	possible 20:24	problem 12:19	51:10,18
Pennsylvania	<b>Pike</b> 1:20	25:22	38:19 50:25	provided 9:9,25
2:11	pin 61:14	powder 1:5 9:22	61:22	11:14 12:7
PENSACOLA	place 30:22 63:8	22:10,22 32:16	procedurally 8:9	23:5 30:14,16
3:23	Placitella 3:15	32:17,20,21	11:17	35:23 44:20
people 17:14	3:16 46:21,24	<b>PPE</b> 7:2	proceed 39:20	providing 44:2
27:14 28:14,15	47:8 50:14,18	PPEs 26:14	59:3	provisions 33:2
42:16	51:14	39:11	proceeding	proviso 28:22
people's 28:16	Placitella's	PRACTICES	53:10	<b>Public</b> 2:9 63:3
percent 18:20	46:25	1:6	proceedings 2:6	63:20
37:3 38:23	plaintiff 24:3	pre-deposition	59:11	pull 50:21
42:3	plaintiffs 3:7,13	58:15,22 61:2	process 34:22	purpose 16:11
Perfect 34:7	3:19 5:6,12,16	precisely 15:3	51:13 58:18	purposes 50:11
perfectly 30:24	5:20,21 6:1 7:8	predecessor	PROCTOR 3:21	58:17
performance 6:5	8:19 17:9	12:9	producability	pursuant 56:14
8:23,24 9:9	23:10 39:4,10	prejudiced	16:10	<b>pursue</b> 36:19
17:22 31:14,15	47:12,14 48:4	29:16	produce 12:20	39:4
32:18 37:4,23	48:21	prepare 18:14	26:14 34:10	put 14:10 22:25
period 30:17	plaintiffs' 5:20	prescribed	35:14 36:7	27:1 32:11
periods 18:22	47:24 48:14	16:19	42:11,23 56:13	36:18 42:19
43:25	55:1	present 15:14	produced 6:11	48:18 54:5
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

58:13 61:14	6:22 13:24	24:19	28:25 29:5,16	retained 27:24
<b>puzzle</b> 54:19	41:17 55:13,18	redacting 18:7	remember 50:14	RETIRED 1:14
	55:19	redaction 13:19	remind 50:14	review 37:24
Q	reading 24:15	46:12 51:7,8	53:1	55:16
qualification	43:23 52:10	redactions 7:4,6	<b>REMOTE</b> 1:5	reviewed 12:3
10:5	real 29:10	7:15 11:22,23	2:11	49:10
qualifications	really 13:3 16:12	15:7,15 21:2	remove 31:10	reviews 8:24
21:10	16:20 17:4	24:16 51:10	repellant 17:19	28:15
quality 7:23	19:2,10 20:6	redepose 29:17	repellent 14:9	<b>RHOADS</b> 4:23
<b>question</b> 9:22,23	21:18 24:22	redeposed 29:7	24:21	<b>Rich</b> 10:18
11:18 13:9	25:20 27:12,21	refer 24:2	report 44:20	11:12 19:2
15:6 22:18	28:10,12 29:20	reference 14:21	46:5	30:3 31:3
24:17,18 29:25	29:22 34:21,23	referenced	reporter 2:8	33:16,23 37:2
35:18 43:14,17	35:17,18 38:5	32:19	61:23 63:4	49:13 50:19
44:22 46:11	57:22 58:10	references 14:6	Reporting 1:19	53:2 58:11
51:5 52:16	reason 28:6	24:20 32:16	reports 12:17	RICHARD 4:9
56:20 58:10	37:20 54:2	referring 49:14	represent 23:21	richard.berna
questionable	58:7	regard 34:15	28:10 45:11	4:12
15:16 24:24	reasonable	41:2	representation	right 5:19 15:22
questioner 29:1	26:18,20 30:25	regarding 5:6,7	14:1 25:1	29:24 31:25
questions 15:4	34:10 35:4,13	6:23 7:1 32:17	36:16 43:7	37:6,7 42:16
15:15 21:1	35:13 36:17	regulatory 44:4	representations	50:22 59:18,22
54:12 59:12,24	43:5 48:17,21	relate 18:7,25	26:23	61:19
quickly 9:17	49:18,21	19:10 31:9,22	representative	<b>Rio</b> 19:21 44:18
25:22	reasons 51:10	32:7	47:20 49:10	risk 15:18 43:13
<b>quite</b> 15:23	<b>REATH 4:2</b>	related 10:1,8	represented	47:10
	recall 11:1	14:2,4 22:21	41:10	road 36:11
$\frac{R}{R}$	receive 62:6	23:22 38:11	represents 35:12	52:13
R 3:1 4:21 63:1	received 5:5,17	46:4	request 5:13	rolling 30:6
RACHEL 4:23	recheck 25:2	relates 18:12	research 40:11	<b>ROTH</b> 3:15
Rafferty 3:21	recognition 36:3	20:9 22:14,15	resolution 57:1	rounding 12:18
5:25	recognize 40:20	relating 7:24	61:13	row 21:25 22:1
raise 41:/ 46:1/	recognizing	8:20 10:12	respect 16:10	24:9,11 25:13
48:6 52:15	25:10	44:6,10 45:2	20:5 23:17	rule 24:7 29:5,6
raised 6:20 7:6,8	<b>record</b> 5:2,3	58:4	31:8 32:20	29:17 40:6
7:13 24:19,24	29:19 36:14	relationship	40:18 42:6	51:9 53:24
26:1 46:10	38:9 39:20	9:22 12:1	52:16 53:10	58:3
54:3 55:6	40:20,21 41:10	15:22	respects 36:1	ruled 8:16 40:24
ran 28:3	44:11	relative 63:10,12	response 5:13	rules 17:1 20:16
rarely 60:9	records 41:25	relevance 16:6	39:13	25:4 28:15
re-raise 22:25	<b>RED</b> 3:17	17:22 18:15	responsibilities	ruling 5:19,23
reached 9:8	<b>redact</b> 31:23	relevant 16:16	32:20	16:12 19:14
10:17 19:21	32:8	20:8 21:9,11	responsive 34:11	26:16 27:2
30:4 42:4	<b>redacted</b> 5:15,18	21:12 22:10	35:14	rulings 24:25
reaction 37:1	9:11 12:24	24:1,4 25:18	restrictions	run 58:20
read 5:11,18			i e	ī
Í .	15:11 21:9	25:20 26:4	34:20	

				/ 3
	49:19 50:5,8	sentence 20:1	soon 48:6 53:25	55:15 57:11,20
<u>S</u>	50:24 51:24	sentence 20.1 separate 57:20	54:21 60:24	59:8,17,21
S 3:1 4:21,21	52:3,6,21	59:10	61:21,22	60:1,16,22
36:10	53:19 54:8,20	separated 43:24	sophistication	61:19 62:5,9
salami-slice 7:19	54:25 55:3,15	separating 58:7	13:4	specific 14:13
salary 38:2	57:11,20 59:8	seriousness	sore 14:9 24:21	32:6 46:14
SALES 1:6	59:17,21 60:1	60:20	sorry 18:5 21:24	48:24 49:8
satisfactory	60:16 61:19	services 14:22	24:12 25:6,13	58:3
14:16 32:2		34:19	50:22 51:24,25	
satisfied 25:3,4	62:5,9	set 16:9 35:19	sort 16:1 19:15	<b>specifically</b> 24:2 32:19 57:2
Saturday 11:15	scope 10:16			
saying 15:20	14:19 51:11	47:24 48:12	27:2 47:21	specify 18:25
16:3 18:11	scratch 6:19	53:16 57:4	48:11 49:16	spending 7:23
21:16 23:7	33:7	63:8	51:6 53:12	spent 18:21,23
26:2 32:24	screen 14:9	setting 8:13	sounds 52:6	<b>spillover</b> 20:18
36:5 46:12	18:25 20:2	SEYFARTH	57:16	<b>spirit</b> 19:11 25:9
says 14:14 21:5	22:16 24:21	4:16	South 1:20 3:23	59:3
32:14	31:25 32:3	shared 34:18	speak 33:20 49:4	spoke 27:13 56:3
schedule 37:8	45:16 47:4	SHAW 4:16	speaking 36:25	<b>spoliation</b> 36:11
47:10 49:18,20	screens 17:18	shoes 23:9 26:10	36:25 39:16	36:13,20 41:6
54:4 55:9 57:2	scroll 47:5	26:11,15	<b>Special</b> 1:14 5:1	43:9
scheduled 5:9	search 26:18,20	shores 40:2	5:4 6:17,25	squeeze 39:7
53:1	searches 28:4,10	short 5:10 21:15	9:13 13:13,18	stage 6:19
scheduling 30:5	second 6:7,15	35:2 37:9	13:21,24 14:20	standard 12:13
Schneider 1:14	7:5 12:6 22:3	61:24	14:25 20:12,25	51:9
5:1,4 6:17,25	24:7,9,11 25:5	Shorthand 63:4	21:4,8,21 22:1	stands 27:8
13:13,18,21,24	25:12,13 26:7	show 37:4	22:7,19 23:8	start 7:13 8:2
14:20,25 20:12	41:16 44:4,17	<b>shown</b> 59:19	23:20 24:6,11	33:7 34:22
20:25 21:4,8	44:25 57:16	shows 12:4	24:14 25:23	started 30:9
21:21 22:1,7	section 23:24,25	23:16 26:23	26:7,17 28:19	35:21
22:19 23:8,20	24:7 46:5,7	side 31:17 58:12	30:23 31:4,11	starting 15:18
24:6,11,14	see 15:7,8 18:2	<b>sides</b> 59:7	33:8,13 34:8	34:3
25:23 26:7	19:8 23:6 24:3	sign 37:24	35:3,11 36:9	state 2:10 56:4
28:19 30:23	28:4 31:25	significantly	36:23 37:14,18	63:4,20
31:4,11 33:8	34:5 39:3	27:22	38:24 39:2,9	<b>stated</b> 6:4,22 7:1
33:13 34:8	43:23 54:21	Similarly 44:17	40:5,23 41:9	statement 10:6
35:3,11 36:9	60:6	<b>simple</b> 57:19	41:20 42:13,18	STATES 1:1
36:23 37:14,18	seeking 50:11	<b>single</b> 41:10	42:21,25 43:18	stating 12:18
38:24 39:2,9	seen 5:16 9:3	sir 50:7	43:21 45:9,15	STATUS 1:5
39:18 40:5,23	11:21,22 40:15	sit 54:1 55:24	45:19,25 46:3	stenographica
41:9,20 42:13	semicolon 19:24	situation 36:15	46:9,16,20	2:7 63:7
42:18,21,25	19:25	SKADDEN 4:9	48:13,20 49:12	step 31:12
43:18,21 45:9	send 5:13 61:20	SLATE 4:9	49:19 50:5,8	sticking 59:7
45:15,19,25	sending 53:15	slippery 23:4	50:24 51:24	stopping 36:12
46:3,9,16,20	sense 7:12 9:6	<b>slope</b> 23:4	52:3,6,21	strategic 44:3
48:13,20 49:12	33:6	somebody 38:19	53:12,19 54:8	<b>STREET</b> 3:5,10
	sent 20:10	somebody's 28:5	54:20,25 55:3	3:23 4:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	l

				/ 4
strong 21:12,15	19:4 21:3 29:9	40:10,11,11,12	30:5,21 34:21	20:13 22:24
21:19	43:5,18 44:14	42:1 53:7 54:3	35:8 38:6	23:10 30:10,17
strongly 23:1,6	45:1 48:17	60:8 61:7,12	40:12 44:23	31:3,4 38:9,17
59:4	61:8	talks 18:4 22:9	54:11,15	44:19 48:2
stuff 8:4 18:6	surprising 13:3	tangential 16:17	think 7:12,18	54:18 55:16
23:7 36:6 47:7	38:15 52:7	team 39:3 48:1	9:17 10:5	63:7
subject 18:8	susan.sharko	technical 19:23	14:16 15:1,21	times 15:25
40:20 47:19	4:5	44:1,20 51:22	17:20,25 18:11	16:15 28:17
submission 5:11	system 17:6	52:1	19:13,14 23:19	40:16
subpoena 8:7	system 17.0	tedious 46:7	24:10,23 26:5	timing 48:5
_	T	tell 21:11 27:16	27:1 30:24	Tinto 19:21
subsequent 41:11	T 4:9,16,21 63:1	30:2,23 36:21	31:7 32:5,23	44:18
suffice 16:25	63:1	38:7 55:21	32:24,25 33:4	Tisi 3:22 5:24,25
sufficient 48:9	table 35:19		· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	tactical 44:2	60:18 tells 48:1	33:5,17,23 34:16 36:12	6:17,24 7:1,12 7:17 13:13,17
<b>suggest</b> 19:16 21:10 32:9	tactical 44.2	tens 48:1 ten 30:15	39:10 41:14	13:20,23 14:13
56:9 60:7	take 33:3 38:16	ten 30:13 tentative 61:15	42:9 44:18	13:20,23 14:13
suggested 39:19	38:17 47:17	terms 21:17	46:10 47:13,15	16:2 18:1 19:6
suggesting 16:21	55:10	28:15 33:1	48:5,16,21	19:19 20:15,22
25:19 34:2	taken 2:7 7:25	47:21 53:16	49:13 50:1	22:5 27:1,8,11
suggestion 38:25	8:9 20:16 63:7	60:3	51:3,21 52:18	28:18,20 29:20
39:3	talc 5:3 10:1,12	testified 12:10	53:17 56:7,11	31:2,7,15
sun 14:9 17:18	10:15 11:4	37:11,22 38:7	56:19,19 58:8	32:11 33:16
18:25 20:1	12:1 14:3,4,9	38:22	58:20,24 60:6	34:1,4,16
22:16 24:21	14:18 18:16,19	testimony 54:22	60:25	35:11,17 36:10
31:24 32:3	18:22,25 19:11	63:6	third 22:4 25:13	36:21,24 37:16
45:16	19:22 20:10	testing 22:10	25:14 43:1	37:20 38:25
superficially	21:19 24:3	40:10	50:3	39:1,8,15 41:8
15:12	25:18 31:10,23	text 61:21	THOMAS 4:16	41:19,20,22
supervising	32:3,8,16,20	thank 13:14	thoroughly	42:17,20,24
58:16	38:11 44:10,19	15:17 35:5	24:15	43:12,19,22
supervisor 10:13	44:20,22 45:3	41:8 42:20,24	thought 6:20	45:9,14,18,24
27:23 37:24	45:12 46:4	46:2,15,19	12:24 23:13	46:2,6,10,15
supervisors	talcum 1:5 9:22	47:7 61:23	51:15 57:11,13	46:18,25 47:6
28:14	22:10,22 32:16	62:3,8,11	three 7:8 10:19	52:14,22 53:7
supplemental	32:17,20,21	theories 58:1	40:25 50:12,16	53:9,14,19
54:16	talk 27:16 29:21	<b>Theresa</b> 2:8 63:3	55:12	54:2,10,20,24
supplier 19:22	34:6 39:3	63:19	three-page	55:2,14 56:7
19:22 44:19	47:13 49:3	thing 22:17	39:13	56:23 57:22
support 19:23	50:20 55:9	23:15,19 25:8	thrown 33:21	59:2,11,15,19
support 19.23	58:17 61:25	28:20 40:9	Thursday 11:12	59:23 60:2,8
supporting 18.4 suppose 7:9	talked 8:14	things 8:14	20:18,21	60:12,21 61:11
36:13 62:1	25:15 29:23	16:21 17:2,7	tighter 7:20	62:3,8
sure 7:17 10:9	52:20	17:17 18:8,16	Tim 18:4	Tisi's 26:10,11
13:17,20,23	talking 18:9	19:5,10 20:7,9	time 7:23 10:21	tlocke@seyfar
16:12 17:9	25:24 31:13	23:1 28:12,16	13:16 18:20,23	4:18

7	
1	_

	•	i	i	i
today 5:6 20:18	43:4,20,24	V 3:22	wanted 9:19	Wednesday 1:11
20:19 61:20	44:23 50:15	vagaries 28:13	19:4 29:18	20:19
told 39:15	53:9 55:11,13	Valsartan 8:17	35:6 40:19	week 31:5 34:11
Tolovsky 10:25	<b>two-page</b> 39:13	varies 27:22	45:7 48:6 54:5	47:11 48:2,21
tomorrow 5:7	Tylenol 10:9	variety 27:19	54:10,17 61:13	weekend 19:8
12:16,19 20:13	type 26:16 62:6	vast 11:4	wants 58:24	weeks 30:15
20:18 25:10	typically 37:10	<b>vendor</b> 49:15	WASHINGT	55:13
48:11 62:10		vendor's 47:18	3:5 4:10,17	welcome 46:13
top 18:3 39:16	U	verbiage 32:12	wasn't 18:11	went 18:14 28:2
50:23	<b>Um-hum</b> 21:7	version 6:5	47:4 54:1	32:12
topic 44:13	underneath	11:19	56:23	weren't 38:13
<b>topics</b> 38:13	11:22,23 44:12	VIDEOCONF	waste 23:10	White 1:20
toxicology 44:4	understand 9:19	1:6 2:11	watched 39:25	Wille 7:7 11:6
44:5	22:13,15 52:18	Videoconfere	Watching 46:25	41:18 42:6
track 40:8	52:23 54:15	1:19	way 7:20,22	willing 25:12
train 30:6	55:14 56:2	view 10:10 12:4	9:15 16:4	windup 47:25
transcript 2:6	understanding	20:5	17:21,21,25	wish 17:5 20:14
63:6	9:1 15:3 52:10	viewing 45:5	38:14 39:19	withheld 51:6
trial 10:8 31:24	understood 6:9	viewpoints 16:9	51:12 58:6,8	witness 9:6
59:20	10:20,22 11:11	views 17:15	59:4	10:24,25 11:6
tried 9:15 11:15	40:7 59:6	virtual 15:18	we'll 5:22,22	11:7,8 12:16
19:8	<b>undue</b> 53:23	virtually 12:17	13:21 15:5,8	12:19,25 23:15
troublesome 6:8	unforeseen 55:8	<b>volume</b> 53:23	31:5 33:3	25:12 29:2,6
troubling 35:19	UNITED 1:1		39:13,14 43:11	29:18 38:20
true 47:8,8 63:6	unredact 19:5	W	43:12 51:11	39:23 42:2,2
try 8:4 20:23	19:10 21:22	<b>W-I-L-L-E</b> 7:7	52:12 61:16,21	52:12 54:7,12
28:11	24:25 25:12	wages 38:1	we're 5:3 6:18	59:25 61:10
trying 8:5 17:4,8	26:3	wait 53:11 55:1	11:9,10 16:8	witness' 21:10
23:2,17 27:20	unredacted 5:17	waiver 50:6	16:20 17:3,8	32:15
30:5 34:14,20	18:6 24:8	<b>WALKER</b> 4:23	23:2 26:10	witnesses 6:11
34:23 38:18	25:19 41:1	walking 46:21	33:5 34:9 35:6	6:12 7:6 8:21
40:8 43:13	unsatisfactory	want 8:2 14:18	36:5,14 40:10	9:20 12:15
47:18 48:11	14:16	15:19,24 16:2	40:11,11,12	13:1,5 37:3,4
49:16 53:11	unsophisticated	16:4 17:24	43:3 47:9 48:9	37:11 38:9,21
56:25 58:13	14:8	23:9,12 25:16	48:9 49:2,6,16	39:23 41:23,24
turn 6:13	unusual 53:21	25:18 26:3,5	49:17 51:19	word 35:4 36:11
turns 29:3,9	55:7 58:19	29:21 30:14	56:17	words 19:16
42:22	61:4,5,6	33:22 34:12	we've 8:9 15:23	23:5 25:18
two 6:2 7:13,14	upcoming 6:12	35:17 36:11,19	16:23 17:2	27:8 33:21
8:19 10:19	update 34:25	36:24 38:16	28:3 31:19	work 8:3 17:25
11:9 16:20	use 16:22 33:7	39:4,20 40:9	34:14 38:21	18:16,19 20:2
18:2 19:20	useful 30:22	40:21 43:8,9	40:15 41:15,25	20:10 32:1,10
20:22,24 22:3	uses 43:5	44:14,25 47:12	42:7 45:6	33:25 39:11
24:25,25 25:13	USMJ 1:14	48:18 52:14	47:23 49:7,8	41:3 44:8
25:14 26:9	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	54:14 59:23	52:20 56:15	47:11 49:20
30:15 39:20		61:8,23	58:8	51:1 58:18
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

_	
1	<b>(</b>

				/ (
61:17	yesterday 36:5	1:2	973-549-7350	
worked 8:18	YORK 4:10	<b>3:59</b> 2:12	4:4	
11:4 14:15	1 OKK 4.10	<b>30</b> 11:5 58:19	<b>975</b> 4:17	
33:17 39:24	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$		7/34.1/	
	<b>Zoom</b> 1:5 2:11	<b>30-year</b> 11:3		
working 7:24	5:9 16:22	<b>30(b)(6)</b> 40:6		
10:8,11,15	43:11 61:21	30X100085700		
11:20 15:23	45.11 01.21	2:9		
18:13,21 42:14	0	<b>316</b> 3:23		
43:4 44:2	<b>07701</b> 3:17	<b>32502</b> 3:23		
47:14,23 48:24	<b>07932</b> 4:3	334-954-7555		
53:9,14 56:18	<b>08106</b> 1:21	3:12		
56:25 58:12	001001.21	<b>36104</b> 3:11		
works 15:22	1	4	-	
world 29:10	<b>127</b> 3:16	4	-	
57:13,18	<b>1440</b> 4:10	<b>4160</b> 3:11		
worth 39:6	<b>1825</b> 3:5	5	-	
worthwhile	18th 53:25 55:4	-	-	
39:10	55:12	<b>5</b> 63:20		
wouldn't 39:22	<b>19</b> 1:11	<b>515</b> 1:20		
40:3		6	-	
write 39:12	1999 35:22,23		-	
writing 53:16	2	6 23:25,25 24:7		
written 5:11	2 18:3	<b>600</b> 4:3		
wrong 56:2,8,9	<b>20</b> 63:21	7	-	
	<b>20004</b> 4:17	732-747-9003	-	
X	<b>20004</b> 4.17 <b>20005</b> 4:10	3:18		
<b>X</b> 42:16		3.10		
<b>XIO857</b> 63:21	<b>2006</b> 3:5 <b>2008</b> 11:20	8	-	
	202-371-7410	800-277-1193	-	
Y		3:24		
yeah 20:22	4:11	800-674-9725		
31:15 34:4	202-759-7648	3:6		
41:19 43:12	3:6	800-898-2034		
52:5 57:22	202-828-5376	3:12		
year 10:14,14	4:18	850-435-7000		
18:18 21:18	<b>2021</b> 1:11 63:20	3:24		
23:25 24:7	63:21			
25:24,25 32:2	215-567-3500	856-488-7797		
37:11,23 44:8	3:17	1:15		
44:18,25	215-567-6019	856-546-1100		
year-end 45:1	3:18	1:22		
45:15	<b>218</b> 3:10	9	-	
yearly 9:3 12:11	<b>22nd</b> 53:6 57:14		·	
13:6	<b>23rd</b> 53:6	90-plus 42:3		
years 11:5 28:3		<b>95</b> 37:3 38:23		
45:7 58:20	3	973-360-9831		
45.7 38.20	3:16-md-2738	4:4		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1